arXiv:math/0112275v1 [math.AG] 25 Dec 2001

THE MOTIVIC DGA:I

ROY JOSHUA

Abstract. The main goal of this paper is to associate to each smooth quasi-projective scheme X over any field an E^∞ differential graded algebra whose cohomology groups are the higher Chow groups of X. Modulo torsion, this provides a strictly commutative differential graded algebra. Such a construction is currently known only for the case X itself is a field. Needless to say there are several applications of this result, some of which are considered here: for example, we are able to construct a category of relative mixed Tate-motives associated to any such scheme under the hypothesis that the DGA we obtain is connected. We also show that this holds for all smooth linear projective varieties over a field k, if the Beilinson-Soulé vanishing condition holds for the field. The existence of all cohomology operations on mod-p motivic cohomology for $p \geq 2$ together with all of the expected relations for primes $p > 2$ follow by appropriately modifying the E^∞-operad to take into account the weights. The expected relations for the case $p = 2$ also can be handled by our machinery; however these require more work and are therefore left to the second part of this paper.

1. Introduction

The main result of this paper is the construction of an E^∞ differential graded algebra associated to any smooth quasi-projective scheme X over a field k whose cohomology will be the integral motivic cohomology associated to X. The relevance of such a gadget for the case the scheme itself is *Spec* k to the construction of a category of mixed Tate motives over *Spec* k seems to appear first in some correspondence of Deligne to Bloch and May: see [De-2]. Such a result was claimed in general in [K-M], Part II, section 6; however certain non-trivial difficulties make their arguments valid only for the case $X = Spec \ \ k$. Their difficulties may be ultimately traced back to inherent difficulties with the higher cycle complex. These are overcome, following some suggestions of Spencer Bloch, by making use of the motivic complexes. We provide an explicit construction of an operad that lives in the $\mathbb{A}^1$-local category of simplicial presheaves (on the big Zariski or Nisnevich site of schemes of finite type over a given field k.) We show that the (graded) motivic complex may be replaced upto quasi-isomorphism by an object which is an algebra over this operad. The $\mathbb{A}^1$-local structure plays a major role in producing this quasi-isomorphism. The passage from the E^∞ DGA to a strict DGA on tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$ is carried out by a standard procedure.

Here is an outline of the paper. We begin section 2 by establishing the correct framework for the rest of the paper. This is followed by the construction of the operad we call *the cubical endomorphism operad* in the next section. Relevant material on simplicial presheaves and operads are recalled here from the appendix. We prove that this operad is in fact an E^∞-operad.

The fourth section discusses the motivic complexes; we consider cubical versions as these are better suited for our purposes. (In fact, the cubical version of the graded motivic complex already forms a strictly associative differential graded algebra; however it is only homotopy commutative.) We show that the graded motivic complex may be replaced by a quasi-isomorphic algebra over the cubical endomorphism operad. Since the above operad is E^∞, we are able to produce a strictly commutative differential graded algebra on tensoring the above algebra with $\mathbb{Q}$ and on applying a certain functor. *This is the motivic DGA*. The following theorem summarizes one of the main results of the paper:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(qp.smt.schemes/k)$ denote the category of quasi-projective smooth schemes over a field k.*

1. There exists a functor

$$\underline{\mathbb{Q}}^{mot} : (qp.smt.schemes/k)^{op} \rightarrow (DG-algebras \quad over \quad \mathbb{Q})$$

so that $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}^{mot} = \underset{r\geq 0,q}{\oplus} \underline{\mathbb{Q}}^{mot,q}(r)$. *If X is a quasi-projective smooth scheme over k and* $\mathbb{Q}^{mot}_X = \Gamma(X, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}^{mot})$, $H^q(\mathbb{Q}^{mot}_X(r)) = H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(r))$ *= the (rational) motivic cohomology of X in degree q and weight r.*

2. More generally there exists a functor

$$\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{mot} : (qp.smt.schemes/k)^{op} \rightarrow (E^\infty \quad DG-algebras)$$

so that $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{mot} = \underset{r \geq 0, q}{\oplus} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{mot,q}(r)$. *If* X *is a quasi-projective smooth scheme over* k *and* $\mathbb{Z}_X^{mot} = \Gamma(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{mot})$, $H^q(\mathbb{Z}_X^{mot}(r)) = H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r))$ = *the motivic cohomology of* X *in degree* q *and weight* r.

Moreover, both the functors are presheaves satisfying cohomological descent (as in definition 7.2) on the big Zariski and Nisnevich sites of smooth quasi-projective schemes over k.

The fifth section contains a definition of all reduced power operations in mod-p motivic cohomology for all $p \geq 2$. This is made possible by incorporating weights into the definition of the operad; this way the operad acts on the motivic complexes and can be made to change the weights appropriately. We also show that it is possible to derive all of the expected relations for primes $p > 2$ simply as a corollary to the existence of a weighted E^∞-algebra structure on the motivic complex. The case $p = 2$ also can be handled by our machine; however, the details are a bit more involved and are therefore left to the second part of this paper.

The last section shows how to define a category of relative mixed Tate motives from a Hopf-algebra constructed from the motivic DGA. (See Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 6.6.) We may summarize these as the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* X *denote any one of the following schemes which is also assumed to be smooth and projective over a given field* k.

- *linear varieties over* k *- see 6.3 for the definition*
- *All toric varieties*
- *All spherical varieties (A variety* X *is spherical if there exists a reductive group* G *acting on* X *so that there exists a Borel subgroup having a dense orbit.)*
- *Any variety on which a connected solvable group acts with finitely many orbits. (For example projective spaces and flag varieties.)*
- *Any variety that has a stratification into strata each of which is the product of a torus with an affine space.*

Then $H^i(\mathbb{Q}_X^{mot}(r)) = 0$ *for* $i < 0$ *or* $(i = 0$ *and* $r \neq 0)$ *while* $= \mathbb{Q}$ *if* $i = 0$ *and* $r = 0$ *provided the Beilinson-Soulé conjecture holds for the rational motivic cohomology of Spec* k, *i.e.* $H^i_{\mathcal{M}}(Spec \quad k; \mathbb{Q}(r)) = 0$ *if* $i < 0$, $H^0_{\mathcal{M}}(Spec \quad k; \mathbb{Q}(r)) = 0$ *if* $r \neq 0$ *and* $H^0_{\mathcal{M}}(Spec \quad k; \mathbb{Q}(0)) \cong \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $A = \mathbb{Q}_X^{mot}$ *and let* $\mathbb{D}_A$ *denote the derived category of cohomologically bounded below* A*-modules. (See section 6 for more details.) The following categories are equivalent in these cases:*

(i) The heart $\mathcal{H}_A$ *of* $\mathbb{D}_A$

(ii) The category of generalized nilpotent representations of the co-Lie algebra γ_A

(iii) The category of co-modules over the Hopf-algebra χ_A

(Both γ_A *and* χ_A *are defined in section 6.) Moreover, in these cases, there exists an* l*-adic realization functor (for* $l \neq char(k)$*) from the above category to the category of mixed Tate* l*-adic representations of the algebraic fundamental group of* X.

The l-adic realization functors follow as in the work of Bloch and Bloch-Kriz. (See [Bl-3] and [Bl-K].) In particular, making use of some well-known results on the motivic complex with finite coefficients, we obtain the structure of an E^∞-algebra structure on a complex whose cohomology is étale cohomology. This extends to a DGA-structure on a complex whose cohomology is continuous l-adic étale cohomology. (These also answer some questions of Thomas Wenger.) In fact, this way one may also see that the cycle map from motivic cohomology to étale cohomology is compatible with the E^∞-structures.

An appendix collects together several results on localization of model categories, (discussed for example in [Hirsch]) as well as relevant details on operads. (These discussions are included mainly for completeness and for the sake of the reader who is not an expert in these topics.) The decision to work cubically (as opposed to simplicially) seems to simplify some of our constructions: for example, the construction of the operad seems simpler this way and moreover it makes the differential graded algebra we obtain strictly associative (integrally).

We would like to thank Spencer Bloch, Patrick Brosnan, Zig Fiedorowicz, Eric Friedlander, Peter May, Markus Rost and Bertrand Toen for several helpful discussions/correspondence. Needless to say we have freely adopted many arguments available in the literature and also used the forthcoming book [Hirsch] as a reference for model categories and localization techniques.

2. NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY

Let $\mathfrak{S}$ denote a site and let $Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ denote a category of presheaves on the site $\mathfrak{S}$ which has the following properties: (i) it is complete and co-complete (i.e. closed under all small limits and colimits) and (ii) has a unital symmetric monoidal structure defined by a bi-functor $\otimes : Presh(\mathfrak{S}) \times Presh(\mathfrak{S}) \rightarrow Presh(\mathfrak{S})$. The unit for the monoidal structure will be denoted u. The main examples of this frame-work will be the following: the category of simplicial presheaves on $\mathfrak{S}$ (which will be denoted $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$), the category of simplicial abelian presheaves on $\mathfrak{S}$ (which will be denoted $Simpl.Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ and the category of all complexes in the latter category. This will be denoted $C(Simpl.Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))$. In the first (second) case, the monoidal structure is the product of two simplicial presheaves (the tensor product of two simplicial abelian presheaves, respectively). In the last case, the monoidal structure is defined by the tensor product of two complexes. We may also consider the following two symmetric monoidal categories for $Presh(\mathfrak{S})$: $Chain(Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))$ $(Co-chain(Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S})))$ = the category of all chain complexes (co-chain complexes, respectively) of abelian presheaves on the site $\mathfrak{S}$ with the monoidal structure given by the tensor product of complexes. A chain-complex (co-chain complex will mean a complex with differentials of degree -1 ($+1$, respectively). (We will pass from a chain-complex to a co-chain complex by re-indexing: i.e. if C_* is a chain complex we let C^* denote the associated co-chain complex defined by $C^n = C_{-n}$.) We let the constant presheaf associated to the singleton set $\{1\}$ define the unit for the product of two simplicial presheaves; clearly the constant presheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ defines a unit for the tensor product of two simplicial abelian presheaves and two abelian presheaves.

For the most part, the only sites we consider in this paper will be the following ones. Let S denote a fixed Noetherian base scheme (often a field k of arbitrary characteristic $p \geq 0$). Let $(smt.schemes/S)$ denote the category of all smooth schemes of finite type over k. This category is skeletally small and therefore we may in fact assume it is small. This category will be provided with either the (big) Zariski topology or the Nisnevich topology: the former site (the latter site) will be denoted $(smt.schemes/S)_{Zar}$ ($(smt.schemes/S)_{Nis}$, respectively). The site $\mathfrak{S}$ will usually denote either one of these sites. If P is a presheaf on the site $\mathfrak{S}$ and X is a scheme over S, $P_{|X}$ will denote the restriction of P to the corresponding (small) Nisnevich or Zariski site of X. In sections 4 through 6, we will need to assume the base scheme is the spectrum of a field, whereas this is not needed in section 3.

A map $f : P \rightarrow P'$ of chain complexes of abelian presheaves on the site $\mathfrak{S}$ will be called a *quasi-isomorphism* if it induces a quasi-isomorphism of the associated complexes of sheaves.

One may observe that $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ and $(Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))^I$ (for any small category I) are simplicial categories. The corresponding bi-functor $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})^{op} \times Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}) \rightarrow (simplicial \quad sets)$ $((Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})^I)^{op} \times (Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))^I \rightarrow (simplicial \quad sets))$ will be denoted Map. There is also a bi-functor

$$\mathcal{H}om : (Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})^I)^{op} \times (Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})^I) \rightarrow Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$$

This has the following properties.

$$\begin{aligned} Hom_{Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})}(R, \mathcal{H}om(P,Q)) &\cong Hom_{Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})}(R \times P, Q), \\ Map(R, \mathcal{H}om(P,Q)) &\cong Map(R \times P, Q), \\ Map(U, P) &= \Gamma(U, P) \quad and \\ \Gamma(U, \mathcal{H}om(P,Q)) &= Map(P_{|U}, Q_{|U}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.0.2.1}$$

for R, P, $Q \varepsilon Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$, $U \varepsilon \mathfrak{S}$. The first three also extend to P, $Q \varepsilon Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})^I$. Any presheaf of sets may be viewed as a presheaf of simplicial sets in the obvious manner. If P, Q are two presheaves of sets, $\mathcal{H}om(P,Q)$ identifies with the presheaf-hom of the presheaves (of sets) P and Q.

3. THE CUBICAL ENDOMORPHISM OPERAD IN THE $\mathbb{A}^1$-LOCAL CATEGORY

We will begin by defining cubical objects and discuss the relation between cubical objects and chain complexes in abelian categories.

Definition 3.1. Let $\square$ denote the following category. The objects of $\square$ are the sets $\{0,1\}^n$, $n \geq 0$, where $\{0,1\}^0 =$ the singleton set $\{0\}$ and the superscript denotes the cartesian product n-times, for $n > 0$. The morphisms in the category $\square$ between $\{0,1\}^n$ and $\{0,1\}^m$ will be defined to be all maps of the underlying sets. For each $c = 0, 1$, and $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have *injective* maps $\delta^i_c : \{0,1\}^{n-1} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$ that place c in the i-th place. For each $0 \leq i \leq n$, we also have a *surjective* map $\sigma^i_c : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{n-1}$ which is the projection onto all but the i-th factor. One may observe the following relations:

$$\delta^j_c \circ \delta^i_{c'} = \delta^i_{c'} \circ \delta^{j-1}_c, \quad i < j \quad and \quad for \quad all \quad c, \quad c'. \tag{3.0.3.2}$$

For each integer $k \geq 1$, let $\square^k$ denote the category whose objects are k-tuples $(b_1, ..., b_k)$ of objects of $\square$. A morphism from a k-tuple $(b_1, ..., b_k)$ to a k-tuple $(b'_1, ..., b'_k)$ is a tuple of morphisms $(f_1, ..., f_k)$, where $f_i : b_i \rightarrow b'_i$ is a morphism of $\square$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a category, a cubical object (co-cubical object) in $\mathcal{C}$ will be defined to be a contravariant functor (covariant functor, respectively) $K : \square \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. In this case $d^c_i = K(\delta^i_c)$ $(d^i_c = K(\delta^i_c))$ if K is a cubical object (co-cubical object, respectively) for each i and $c = 0, 1$. The category of cubical (co-cubical) objects in $\mathcal{C}$ will be denoted $\square^{op}(\mathcal{C})$ ($\square(\mathcal{C})$, respectively). Similarly a multi-cubical object of degree k (a multi co-cubical object of degree k) in the category $\mathcal{C}$ will be a contra-variant functor $K : \square^k \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (a covariant functor $K : \square^k \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, respectively).

If $\mathbf{A}$ is an abelian category, one defines a functor

$$NC : (cubical \quad objects \quad in \quad \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow (chain \quad complexes \quad in \quad \mathbf{A}) \tag{3.0.3.3}$$

by $NC(K)_n = K_n$, $n \geq 0$ and $\delta : NC(K)_n \rightarrow NC(K)_{n-1}$ is defined by $\Sigma_i (-1)^i (d^0_i - d^1_i)$. The relations in (3.0.3.2) show that this defines a chain complex (trivial in negative degrees). Given a multi-cubical object K of degree k, $NC(\Delta K)$ will be a multi-chain-complex of degree k obtained by taking δ (as above) or each degree. Similarly, given a multi-co-cubical object K of degree k in the abelian category $\mathbf{A}$, $NC(K)$ will be a multi-co-chain complex.

One may define an inverse to the functor NC in the usual manner using the degeneracies: i.e. if L is a chain complex trivial in negative degrees in the abelian category $\mathbf{A}$, one lets $DNC(L)_n = L_n \oplus \oplus_{r=0}^{n-1} s_{j_k} \circ ... \circ s_{j_1} L_r$, where $s_{j_k} \circ ... \circ s_{j_1} L_r$ is a copy of the object L_r indexed by the sequence $s_{j_k} \circ ... \circ s_{j_1}$. The structure maps are defined so that $NC(DNC(L)) \simeq L$ and $DNC(L)$ is a cubical object in $\mathbf{A}$. (For example, $d^0_{n|L_n} : L_n \rightarrow DNC(L)_{n-1}$ is given by $d : L_n \rightarrow L_{n-1}$ and $d^c_{i|L_n} = 0$ for all $i < n$ and $i = n$, $c = 1$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} d^c_i(s_{j_k} \circ ... \circ s_{j_1})(x) &= s_{h_{k-1}} \circ ... \circ s_{h_1}(x), \quad if \quad s_{h_{k-1}} \circ ... s_{h_1} = d^c_i \circ s_{j_k} \circ ... \circ s_{j_1} \\ &= s_{h_k} \circ ... \circ s_{h_1}(d(x)), \quad if \quad s_{h_k} \circ \circ s_{h_1} \circ d^c_r = d^c_i s_{j_k} \circ ... \circ s_{j_1} \\ &= 0, \quad if \quad s_{h_k} \circ ... \circ s_{h_1} \circ d_j = d_j s_{j_k} \circ ... \circ s_{j_1}, \quad with \quad j < r \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.3.4}$$

)

Example 3.2. Now we consider a key example of co-cubical objects in the category of schemes. Let S denote a fixed base-scheme and let $\mathbb{A}^n$ denote the corresponding affine space over S. Let

(3.0.3.4)
$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{A} : \; S \arrow[r, shift left=2] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{A}^1 \arrow[l, shift left=2] & \cdots & \mathbb{A}^n ... \end{tikzcd}$$

where the face map d^i_c sends $\mathbb{A}^n$ into $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ as the i-th face consisting of tuples $(x_1, ..., x_{i-1}, c, x_i, ..., x_n)$. (The map $s^i_c = \mathcal{A}(\sigma^i_c)$ on $\mathbb{A}^n$ is the projection onto all but the i-th factor.)

3.1. **The tensor structures.** Let $K = \{K_{(n_1,...,n_k)}\}$ denote a multi-complex of degree k in an abelian category $\mathbf{A}$ so that $K_{(n_1,...,n_k)} = 0$ if any $n_i < 0$. We define the total complex associated to K, $Tot(K)$, to be the complex defined as follows: $Tot(K)_n = \underset{n_1+...+n_k=n}{\oplus} K_{(n_1,...,n_k)}$. If d^α, $\alpha = 1, ..., k$ denotes the corresponding differential, we let $K_{(n_1,...,n_k)} \rightarrow Tot(K)_n \overset{d}{\rightarrow} Tot(K)_{n-1}$ by defined by $d_1 + (-1)^{n_1+n_2} d_2 + ... + (-1)^{n_1+\cdots+n_k} d_k$. Clearly all of the above discussion applies to co-chain complexes and multi-co-chain complexes.

Finally observe that if K_1,..., K_k are k-cubical objects in an abelian tensor category $\mathbf{A}$, there exists a canonical isomorphism $NC(K_1) \otimes .... \otimes NC(K_k) = NC(K_1 \otimes ... \otimes K_k)$ of multi-complexes of degree k. It follows the associated total complexes will also be canonically isomorphic. Moreover there exists a natural map (called the shuffle map) $s : NC(K_1) \otimes ... \otimes NC(K_k) \rightarrow NC(K_1 \otimes ... \otimes K_k)$ that is compatible with the obvious action of the symmetric group on both sides. (This observation will be important later on.) We will describe this map explicitly when $k = 2$ as follows.

3.2. **The shuffle map.** Given two positive integers p and q, a (p, q)-shuffle π is a permutation of $(1, ..., p + q)$ so that $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq p$ and for $p + 1 \leq i < j \leq p + q$. We let $\mu =$ the restriction of π to $(1, ..., p)$ and $\nu(j) = \pi(j + p)$, $1 \leq j \leq q$. Clearly π is determined by (μ, ν) and therefore, we will identify π with the pair (μ, ν). Given two chain complexes L and L', one considers $DN(L) \otimes DN(L')$ which is the diagonal of the double cubical object $\{DN(L)_n \otimes DN(L')_m | n, m\}$. One obtains a map called the shuffle map

$$s = shuffle : L_p \otimes L'_q \rightarrow DN(L)_{p+q} \otimes DN(L')_{p+q} \tag{3.2.3.1}$$

which is defined by

$$(3.2.3.2) \qquad shuffle(l_p \otimes l_q) = \Sigma_{(\mu,\nu)}(-1)^{\sigma(\mu)}(s_{\nu_q} \circ ... \circ s_{\nu_1}(l_p) \otimes s_{\mu_p} \circ ... \circ s_{\mu_1}(l'_q))$$

where the sum is over all (p,q)-shuffles (μ,ν) and where $\sigma(\mu)$ is the signature of the permutation μ. (Observe that, we will often let $\mathbf{A}$ be a category of abelian sheaves on a site; we may in fact assume for simplicity that $\mathbf{A}$ is the category of abelian groups.)

Proposition 3.3. *Let* $\mathbf{A}$ *denote an abelian category with a monoidal structure. Then the functor* NC *is compatible with pairings.*

Proof. We skip this direct verification. (See for example [H-Sch] p. 257.) ☐

Remark 3.4. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ denote a site and let $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ denote the category of all simplicial presheaves on the site $\mathfrak{S}$. For each object X in the site $\mathcal{C}$, we define a simplicial presheaf h_X as the functor $Y \rightarrow Hom_{\mathfrak{S}}(Y,X)$ represented by X. This defines a faithful functor $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Simpl.Presh(\mathcal{C})$. (One may verify that h_X is in fact a sheaf for each X in the site $\mathfrak{S}$.)

The category $Simpl.Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ is an abelian category with a tensor structure defined by the tensor product of simplicial abelian groups. Therefore the discussion in 3.1 applies to $Simpl.Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$.

3.3. **The cubical endomorphism operad.** In this section we will first introduce an operad, which will be an *approximation to the the cubical endomorphism operad*, that will play a key role in the paper. The operad we define here, will, in general, fail to be an E^{∞}-operad or even an acyclic operad; however it will act on the motivic complexes. (This will then be replaced by the cubical endomorphism operad which will be E^{∞}.)

3.3.1. It may be worthwhile recalling the properties of the internal hom functor $\mathcal{H}om$ from section 2. Recall in particular that, if P' and P are the obvious constant simplicial presheaves associated to presheaves of sets, then $\mathcal{H}om(P',P)$ is also the constant simplicial presheaf associated to a presheaf of sets. In particular, this applies to the sheaves of sets h_X , namely the functor represented by the object X of the site $\mathfrak{S}$.

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ denote either the big Zariski or Nisnevich site of schemes of finite type over a given base scheme S. We define a bi-functor

$$(3.3.3.2) \qquad \mathcal{M}ap_{cube} : (Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))^{\square} \times (Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))^{\square} \rightarrow (Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))^{\square^{op}}$$

Given P' and $P\varepsilon(Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))^{\square}$, we let

$\mathcal{M}ap_{cube}(P',P)$ denote the cubical object defined as follows:

$$(3.3.3.3) \qquad \mathcal{M}ap_{cube}(P',P)_n = \mathcal{H}om(P' \times h_{\mathbb{A}^n}, P)$$

The co-cubical structure on $P' \times h_{\mathbb{A}^n}$ is induced from the one on P'. As n varies among all the non-negative integers, one obtains a cubical object in $(Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))$. More generally, if I is a small category, one may define $\mathcal{M}ap_{cube} : (Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))^I \times (Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))^I \rightarrow (Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))^{\square^{op}}$ in a similar manner.

Next observe that $\mathcal{A}$ (as in (3.0.3.4)) defines a co-cubical object of schemes and hence of simplicial presheaves. Therefore $\mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\boxtimes}}$ (defined by $(\mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\boxtimes}})^{(n_1,\ldots,n_k)} = \mathbb{A}^{n_1} \times \cdots \mathbb{A}^{n_k}$, with $\Sigma n_i = n$) and with the induced structure maps forms a multi-co-cubical object of degree k in $(Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))$. We let $\mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}}$ denote the diagonal of the multi-cubical object $\mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\boxtimes}}$. $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k) = \mathcal{M}ap_{cube}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}})$. Observe that this is a cubical object of $(Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))$. Moreover observe that for a given scheme X over S, $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)_n) = Hom_{(schemes/S)^{\square}}(X \times \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}})$ for all $n \geq 0$. We define $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(0) = \{1\}$ = the obvious constant sheaf and define an augmentation $\eta : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(0)) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(1))$ (for any scheme X of finite type over S) by sending 1 to the projection $X \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

One of the main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *The collection* $\{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)|k\}$ *with the action of the symmetric group* Σ_k *induced by the permutation action on the factors of the target* $\mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}}$ *in* $\mathcal{M}ap_{cube}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}})$ *and with the above augmentation is a commutative operad in* $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))^{\square^{op}}$.

Proof. We will verify the axioms systematically. Observe first that the action of the symmetric group is not free and $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$ is not necessarily acyclic, so that $\{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)|k\}$ is not an E^{∞}-operad. Let X denote a given scheme over S. Now we define the map $\gamma : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)) \times \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(j_1)) \times ... \times \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(j_k)) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(j))$ as the obvious composition:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}ap_{cube}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}})) \times \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}ap_{cube}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\overset{j_1}{\otimes}})) \times ... \times \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}ap_{cube}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\overset{j_k}{\otimes}})) \\ &\rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}ap_{cube}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}})) \times \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}ap_{cube}(\mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}}, \mathcal{A}^{\overset{j}{\otimes}})) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}ap_{cube}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\overset{j}{\otimes}})) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.3.4}$$

In more detail this map may be defined as follows. Let $f_\alpha : X \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_{j_\alpha}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\overset{j_\alpha}{\otimes}}$ denote elements of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(j_\alpha))_{l_{j_\alpha}}$, $\alpha = 1, ..., k$. (Here l_{j_α} denotes the cubical index.) We take their product, which is a map $\Pi_\alpha f_\alpha : \Pi_\alpha(X \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_{j_\alpha}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\overset{j}{\otimes}}$. We pre-compose this with the diagonal $\Delta : X \times \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}} \times \Pi_\alpha \mathbb{A}^{l_{j_\alpha}} \rightarrow \Pi_\alpha(X \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_{j_\alpha}})$. This defines a map $X \times \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}} \times \Pi_\alpha \mathbb{A}^{l_{j_\alpha}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\overset{j}{\otimes}}$. This defines the first map above. Next let $g : X \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_k} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}}$ denote a section of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k))_{l_k}$. This induces a map $id \times g \times id : X \times X \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_k} \times \Pi_\alpha \mathbb{A}^{l_{j_\alpha}} \rightarrow X \times \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}} \times \Pi_\alpha \mathbb{A}^{l_{j_\alpha}}$. Therefore we may pre-compose the map defined above with $id \times g \times id$. By pre-composing this with the diagonal $X \rightarrow X \times X$, we obtain $\gamma(g_k, f_1, ..., f_k) \varepsilon \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(j))_{l_k + \Sigma_\alpha l_{j_\alpha}}$. In view of the observations in (3.3.1), this suffices to define the map γ as a map of simplicial presheaves. Observe that γ maps $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)_{l_k}) \times \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(j_1)_{l_{j_1}}) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(j_k)_{l_{j_k}})$ to $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(j))_{l_k + \Sigma_\alpha l_{j_\alpha}}$ and that it is compatible with the structure maps of the cubical objects $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(q)$ involved. In fact one may also verify the following:

(3.3.3.5)
for each fixed value of any $(k-1)$-arguments, it is a map of cubical objects in the remaining arguments

One may now verify that the diagram (7.4.7.1) follows from the associativity of composition of maps; the remaining identities may be verified readily using the definition of the map γ thereby proving the theorem. □

Remark 3.7. In order to obtain an acyclic operad this way, one needs to work systematically in the $\mathbb{A}^1$-local theory similar to that of [M-V] and show that the above operad may be functorially replaced by an operad that is acyclic. We will therefore digress to consider several results on $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopies. A detailed discussion on localization of simplicial presheaves may be found in the appendix.

3.4. **The $\mathbb{A}^1$-local categories of simplicial presheaves.** Let $\mathfrak{S}$ denote the big Zariski or the Nisnevich site of all schemes of finite type over a given base scheme S. Let $\mathbb{A}^1$ denote the affine space of dimension 1 over the base-scheme S; we will identify $\mathbb{A}^1$ with the corresponding representable sheaf of sets $h_{\mathbb{A}^1}$. This is an *interval* in the sense of [M-V]; i.e. one has maps $\mu : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ (given by the additive group structure on $\mathbb{A}^1$), and $i_0, i_1 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ which are the closed immersions corresponding to the points 0 and 1. (All products of schemes we consider will be fibered products over S.) Moreover, one obtains the following relations if $p : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow S$ is the canonical projection:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu(i_0 \times id) = \mu(id \times i_0) = i_0 \circ p \\ &\mu(i_1 \times id) = \mu(id \times i_1) = id \\ &\textit{the} \quad \textit{morphism} \quad i_0 \sqcup i_1 : S \sqcup S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \quad \textit{is} \quad \textit{a} \quad \textit{monomorphism} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.3.1}$$

One may extend μ to maps $(\mathbb{A}^1)^n \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}^1)^n$ in the obvious manner, for each $n \geq 1$, by using the map $\mu : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ on the last factor in $(\mathbb{A}^1)^n$. These maps also will be denoted μ.

Definition 3.8. Let $f, g : P \rightarrow P'$ denote two maps of simplicial presheaves. An *elementary* $\mathbb{A}^1$*- homotopy* from f to g is a morphism $H : P \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow P'$ so that $H \circ i_0 = f$ and $H \circ i_1 = g$. An elementary *simplicial homotopy* is defined similarly with $\mathbb{A}^1$ replaced by the constant simplicial presheaf $\Delta[1]$. Two morphisms $f, g : P \rightarrow P'$ are $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopic (simplicially homotopic) if they can be connected by an elementary $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy (elementary simplicial homotopy, respectively).

Next, we recall the functor $Sing_*$ defined in [M-V]. We begin with the cosimplicial object $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1} = \{(\mathbb{A}^1)^n | n\}$ whose structure maps are defined as follows. Let $f : [n] = (0, \cdots, n) \rightarrow [m] = (0, \cdots, m)$ be a morphism in the category Δ. Define a morphism of sets $\phi(f) : \{1, \cdots, m\} \rightarrow \{0, \cdots, n+1\}$ setting

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(f)(i) &= min \quad \{l \varepsilon \{0, \cdots, n\} | f(l) \geq i\}, \quad \textit{if} \quad \textit{this} \quad \textit{set} \quad \textit{is} \quad \textit{not} \quad \textit{empty}, \\ &= n+1, \quad \textit{otherwise} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.3.2}$$

Denote by $pr_k : (\mathbb{A}^1)^m \to \mathbb{A}^1$ ($pr_{\phi(f)(k)} : (\mathbb{A}^1)^n \to \mathbb{A}^1$) the projection to the k-th factor (the factor $\phi(f)(k)$, respectively) and by $p : (\mathbb{A}^1)^n \to S$. Then $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f) : (\mathbb{A}^1)^n \to (\mathbb{A}^1)^m$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} pr_k \circ \Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1}(f) &= pr_{\phi(f)(k)}, \quad if \quad \phi(f)(k)\varepsilon\{1, ..., n\} \\ &= i_0 \circ p, \quad if \quad \phi(f)(k) = n+1 \\ &= i_1 \circ p, \quad if \quad \phi(f)(k) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.3.3}$$

Given a simplicial presheaf P, we let $Sing_*(P) =$ the diagonal of the bisimplicial presheaf $\{\mathcal{H}om((\mathbb{A}^1)^m, P_n)|n, m\}$.

Proposition 3.9. *(See [M-V] Proposition (2.3.4).) Let* $f, g : P \to P'$ *be two maps so that there exists an elementary* $\mathbb{A}^1$*-homotopy from* f *to* g*. Then there exists an elementary simplicial homotopy between* $Sing_*(f)$ *and* $Sing_*(g)$*.*

Proof. Since $Sing_*$ commutes with products it suffices to show that the morphisms $Sing(i_0)$, $Sing(i_1) : S \to Sing_*(S) \to Sing_*(\mathbb{A}^1)$ are related by an elementary simplicial homotopy. The required homotopy is given by the morphism $Sing_*(S) \times \Delta[1]_1 \cong \Delta[1]_1 \to Sing_1(\mathbb{A}^1) = \mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{A}^1)$ that sends the generator $i_1\varepsilon\Delta[1]_1$ to the element of $\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{A}^1)$ which corresponds to the identity map of $\mathbb{A}^1$. □

Recall that each $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$ is a cubical object in the category of simplicial presheaves. Therefore $\mathbb{Z} \circ Sing_*$ applied to this produces a cubical object in the category of simplicial abelian presheaves. On applying the functor NC to this object, one obtains a chain complex in the category of simplicial abelian presheaves. Finally one applies the normalization functor as in 7.3 to produce a double complex of abelian presheaves, whose total complex will be denoted $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$. One may take the tensor product of this with $N(\mathbb{Z}(E\Sigma_k))$ to obtain an E^∞-operad.

Definition 3.10. We let $\mathcal{D}''_{\mathcal{A}}(k) = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \otimes N(\mathbb{Z}(E\Sigma_k))$. Observe that this is a chain-complex trivial in negative degrees. We let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$ denote the associated co-chain complex.

Corollary 3.11. *Each* $\mathbb{Z} \circ Sing_*(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k))_n$ *(where* n *denotes the cubical degree) is an acyclic complex of abelian presheaves. The collection* $\{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(k))|k\}$ *forms an* E^∞*- operad in the category* $Co-chain(Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))$*.*

Proof. Let $*\varepsilon\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k))_0$ denote the map $X \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}}$ that is the composition of the projection $X \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ with the diagonal $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}}$. This is the base-point of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k))_0$. We will also denote the map $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$ that factors through $*$ by the same symbol $*$. We define a homotopy $H : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$ between the identity map of $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$ and $*$ as follows: $H(f, t) = t.f + (1-t).*$, $f\varepsilon\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)) = Hom(X \times \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}})$, $t\varepsilon\Gamma(X, \mathbb{A}^1)$. (We define $t.f$ as follows. Let $f_i = pr_i \circ f$ where $pr_i : \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}} \to \mathcal{A}$ denote the projection to the i-th factor. Then $t.f = (\mu(t, f_1), ..., \mu(t, f_k))$. One defines $(1-t).*$ similarly. The operation $+$ denotes taking the sum using the additive structure on each $\mathbb{A}^m$.) One may observe that $H(\quad,\quad) : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)) \times \Gamma(X, \mathbb{A}^1) \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k))$ defines the required elementary $\mathbb{A}^1$ homotopy. By Proposition 3.9, such an elementary $\mathbb{A}^1$-null homotopy will induce a simplicial null homotopy on applying the functor $Sing_*$, which in turn, will induce a chain null homotopy of the corresponding complex $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$. The observation that $Sing_*$ commutes with products, shows that one may apply $Sing_*$ to the diagrams in (7.4.7.1), (7.4.7.2) and (7.4.7.3) for the operad $\{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)|k \geq 0\}$ and obtain corresponding diagrams involving $Sing_*$ applied to the individual terms. This shows that $\{Sing_*(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)|k\}$ forms an operad in the category $(Simpl.Presh)^{\square^{op}}$. Since the free abelian group functor has the property that given two simplicial presheaves P and P', there is a natural map $\mathbb{Z}(P) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(P') \to \mathbb{Z}(P \times P')$ and the functors NC and N both commute with tensor products, one may see readily that $\{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(k)|k\}$ forms an operad in $Co-chain(Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))$. □

Definition 3.12. (The (degree-part of) the cubical endomorphism operad: the weighted cubical endomorphism operad) We call the operad $\{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(k)|k\}$ the *degree part (or weight* 0 *part) of the cubical endomorphism operad.* We define the *weight* n*-part of the cubical endomorphism operad* $\mathcal{O}_n(k) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$ so that the map $\gamma_k : \mathcal{O}_{n_0}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{n_1}(j_1) \otimes ... \otimes \mathcal{O}_{n_k}(j_k) \to \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{i=0}^k n_i}(j_1 + ... + j_k)$ is merely the map γ viewed as a map preserving the weights. The *cubical endomorphism operad* is the graded object $\mathcal{O}(k) = \underset{n\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}}{\oplus}\mathcal{O}_n(k)$.

4. The Motivic complexes

4.1. Throughout the rest of the paper, we will assume the base scheme S is th spectrum of a field k. Given an abelian presheaf P on $(smt.schemes/k)$, we extend P to a cubical presheaf (i.e. a presheaf of cubical abelian groups) as is done for the simplicial case in [F-S]: i.e. we let $C_*(P)$ denote the cubical presheaf defined by $\Gamma(U, C_n(P)) = \Gamma(U \times \mathbb{A}^n, P)$. This cubical abelian presheaf may be replaced by the corresponding chain complex (i.e. with a differential of degree -1): this complex will be denoted $NC(C_*(P))$. (Now we may re-index $NC(C_*(P))^i = NC(C_*(P))_{-i}$ to obtain a co-chain complex of presheaves that is trivial in positive degrees.)

4.2. Given $X, Y \varepsilon (smt.schemes/k)$, we define $Cor_{q.f}(Y,X)$ $(Cor_f(Y,X))$ be the free abelian group generated by closed integral subschemes $Z \subseteq Y \times X$ that are quasi-finite (finite, respectively) and dominant over some component of Y.

Given a fixed $X \varepsilon (smt.schemes/k)$, one defines presheaves with transfers, $\mathbb{Z}_{eq}(X)$, $\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(X)$ by $\Gamma(U, \mathbb{Z}_{eq}(X)) = Cor_{q.f}(U,X)$ and $\Gamma(U, \mathbb{Z}_{tr}(X)) = Cor(U,X)$. It is observed in [F-S-V], that this defines a *sheaf with transfer* on $(smt.schemes/k)_{Nis}$ and $(smt.schemes/k)_{Zar}$.

4.3. **The motivic complexes $\mathbb{Z}(n)$.**

Definition 4.1. We let $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{cub}(n)$ =the presheaf $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C^*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))[-2n]$ on either of the sites $(smt.schemes/k)_{Nis}$ or $(smt.schemes/k)_{Zar}$. (Observe the existence of a localization sequence $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))[-2n] \rightarrow C^*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))[-2n] \rightarrow C^*(\mathbb{Z}_{eq}(\mathbb{A}^n))[-2n]$. Therefore one may identify the complex $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{cub}(n)$ with $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_{eq}(\mathbb{A}^n))[-2n]$ upto quasi-isomorphism. Nevertheless, as will become clear in section 5, the above definition seems preferable for defining motivic cohomology operations.) If X is a smooth scheme over k, we also let $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X^{cub}(n)$ denote the restriction of $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{cub}(n)$ to the Zariski or Nisnevich site of X. $\mathbb{Z}_X^{cub}(n) = \Gamma(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X^{cub}(n))$. We define $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{cub} = \underset{n}{\oplus} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{cub}(n)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_X^{cub} = \Gamma(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X^{cub})$.

Next, we consider the following results.

Proposition 4.2. $\underset{n}{\oplus} NC(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))$ *is a presheaf of strictly associative differential graded algebras.*

Proof. Since each $C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))$ is a cubical object in $C(Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))$, the functor NC applied to it produces a chain-complex. One may observe that the pairing $C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)) \otimes C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^m))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^m - \mathbb{A}^m)) \rightarrow C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m}))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m} - \mathbb{A}^{n+m}))$ is given by taking an external product followed by pull-back by the diagonal $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$. Therefore, this is strictly associative. The functor NC is compatible with tensor-product pairings; this proves the proposition. $\square$

Remark 4.3. Nevertheless, observe that the above pairing is not commutative, but only commutative upto homotopy. One of the main advantages of working in the cubical setting is that one obtains the above proposition; in the simplicial setting, one can only obtain a homotopy associative product. The remaining results of this section may be interpreted as proving this pairing is, in fact, coherently homotopy commutative.

Let $U : Simpl.ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S}) \rightarrow Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ denote the underlying functor. (Recall that U is right adjoint to the free abelian group functor $\mathbb{Z}$.)

Proposition 4.4. $U(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{cub}) = U(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))[-2n])$ *is an algebra over the cubical endomorphism operad* $\{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)|k\}$ *in the category* $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$. *The structure maps* $\theta_k : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \times U((\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{cub})^{\overset{k}{\times}}) \rightarrow U(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{cub})$, $\quad k \geq 1$ *are maps of multi-cubical objects.*

Proof. We define maps $\theta_k : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \times U((\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{cub})^{\overset{k}{\times}}) \rightarrow U(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{cub})$ as follows. Let X denote a given smooth scheme over k and let $f \varepsilon \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)_{l_1})$ $(Z \varepsilon \Gamma(X, U(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))^{\overset{k}{\times}}_{l_2})))$ be represented by $f : X \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\overset{k}{\otimes}}$ $(Z \varepsilon Cor(X^{\overset{k}{\times}} \times \mathbb{A}^{m_1} \cdots \times \mathbb{A}^{m_k}, (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i})$ with $Z = \underset{i}{\Pi} Z_i$, $Z_i \varepsilon Cor(X \times \mathbb{A}^{m_i}, (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n_i})$, respectively.) Here $\Sigma m_i = l_2$.) Now f induces a map $f_{m_1,...,m_k} : X \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \times ... \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i}$. Therefore $id \times f_{m_1,..,m_k} \times id : X^k \times X \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_1} \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i n_i} \rightarrow X^k \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \times ... \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i}$. Now one applies the shuffle map s to Z to obtain a class $s(Z) \varepsilon Cor(X^{\overset{k+1}{\times}} \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \cdots \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_1}, (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i})/Cor(X^{\overset{k+1}{\times}} \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \cdots \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_1}, (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i} - \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i n_i})$. Therefore $(id \times f_{m_1,..,m_k} \times id)^*(s(Z))$ represents a class in $Cor(X^{\overset{k+1}{\times}} \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_1}, (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i})/Cor(X^{\overset{k+1}{\times}} \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \cdots \times \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i m_i} \times \mathbb{A}^{l_1}, (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i} - \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i n_i})$. We let

$$\theta_k(f, Z) = \Delta^*((id \times f_{m_1,...,m_k} \times id)^*(s(Z))) \tag{4.3.4.1}$$

where $\Delta : X \to X^{\overset{k+1}{\times}}$ is the diagonal. Observe that now $\theta_k(f, Z)$ represents a class in

$$\Gamma(X, U(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i}))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i} - \mathbb{A}^n)))_{l_2+l_1}).$$

In view of (3.3.1), this defines the map θ_k. Recall that $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$ and $U(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))$ are both cubical objects, while $U(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))^{\overset{k}{\times}}$ is a k-cubical object in the category $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$. One may verify that the pairing θ_k is compatible with all the structure maps of the above cubical and multi-cubical objects. To see this it suffices to observe that the structure maps of the cubical object $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$ are obtained from the maps $\mathbb{A}^{l_i} \to \mathbb{A}^{l_i'}$ which are part of the structure maps of the co-cubical object $\mathcal{A}$ and that the structure maps of the multi-cubical object $U((\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))^{\overset{k}{\times}})$ and cubical object $U(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))$ are obtained from the maps $\mathbb{A}^{m_i} \to \mathbb{A}^{m_i'}$ which are part of the structure maps of the cubical object $\mathcal{A}$.

We observe this action satisfies all the required conditions as in (7.4.7.4), (7.4.7.5) and (7.4.7.6). The commutativity of the diagram (7.4.7.4) will follow essentially from the following property of the shuffle map: given positive integers j_1,..., j_k with $j = \Sigma_i j_i$ and cubical objects L^{α}_{β}, $\alpha = 1, ..., k$ and $\beta = 1, ..., j_\alpha$, the shuffle map $s : \otimes_{\alpha,\beta} NC(L^{\alpha}_{\beta}) \to NC(\otimes_{\alpha,\beta} L^{\alpha}_{\beta})$ factors as the composition of the shuffle maps: $\otimes_{\alpha=1}^{k} \otimes_{\beta=1}^{j_\alpha} NC(L^{\alpha}_{\beta}) \overset{\otimes_{\alpha=1}^{k} s_\alpha}{\to} \otimes_{\alpha=1}^{k} NC(\otimes_{\beta=1}^{j_\alpha} L^{\alpha}_{\beta}) \overset{s}{\to} NC(\otimes_{\alpha=1}^{k} \otimes_{\beta=1}^{j_\alpha} L^{\alpha}_{\beta})$. Moreover one needs the observation that the shuffle map is compatible with the obvious action of symmetric group on the various factors involved. The commutativity of the diagram (7.4.7.5) is clear. An analysis of the diagram (7.4.7.6) will show that its commutativity reduces to the observations that if $\sigma \varepsilon \Sigma_k$, the action of σ on $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$ and σ^{-1} on $U(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))^k$ are inverses to each other. □

We will replace the algebra $U(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))$ by the algebra

$$Sing_*(U(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))).$$

Clearly the latter is an algebra over the operad $\{Sing_*(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k))|k\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} Sing_*(\theta) : Sing_*(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k)) \times Sing_*(U(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))^{\overset{k}{\times}}) \\ \to Sing_*(U(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.4.2}$$

denote the map induced by θ. This is $\mathbb{Z}$-multi-linear in the last arguments for each fixed value of the first argument. Therefore, we obtain an induced pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}(Sing_*(\theta)) : \mathbb{Z}(Sing_*(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(k))) \otimes (Sing_*(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))))^{\overset{k}{\otimes}} \\ \to Sing_*(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.4.3}$$

between multi-cubical objects in the category $Simpl.ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$. One applies the functor $N \circ NC$ followed by the total complex functor to obtain corresponding pairings of chain complexes of abelian presheaves. It follows that $Tot(N \circ NC(Sing_*(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))))$ is an algebra over the operad $\{\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(k)|k\}$ and hence over the E^{∞}-operad $\{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(k)|k\}$.

Proposition 4.5. *$C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))$ is a natural split summand of $C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))$ for all n.*

Proof. For each non-empty subset I of $\{1, ..., n\}$, we let $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n_I = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \varepsilon (\mathbb{P}^1)^n | x_i = \infty, \quad i \varepsilon I\}$. Let $i_{I*} : C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n_I)) \to C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))$ denote the obvious map associated to the closed immersion $i_I : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n_I \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. Let $p_I : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^n_I$ denote the obvious map that replaces the i-th entry by ∞. If $p_{I*} : C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)) \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n_I))$ denotes the map associated to p_I, clearly the composition $p_{I*} \circ i_{I*} = id$, i.e. p_{I*} is a canonical splitting to i_{I*}. Clearly $C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))$ is the sub-object of $C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))$ generated by the images of i_{I*}, as I varies over all non-empty subsets of $\{1, ..., n\}$. Let i_* denote the inclusion of the former into the latter. Now the map $p_* = \Sigma_I (-1)^{card \quad (I)-1} p_{I*}$ where the sum varies over all non-empty subsets I of $\{1, ..., n\}$ provides a splitting to the map i_*. (See for example [SV] (3.0).) □

.

Definition 4.6. Let d denote a fixed integer. We will view $\mathbb{P}^1$ as a pointed object with the base point ∞. Let $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+d}$ denote the obvious inclusion into the first n-factors (i.e. with ∞ in the last d factors) if $d \geq 0$ and if $d \leq 0$ let $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+d}$ denote the obvious projection onto the first $n+d$ -factors. Now the map π induces an obvious map (making use of the *canonical* splitting in the last proposition):

$$\pi_* : C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)) \to C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+d}))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+d} - \mathbb{A}^{n+d})).$$

Observe that in case $d < 0$, the canonical splitting in the last proposition is essential in being able to define the map π_*: we will define π_* for $d \geq 0$ the same way. We define the pairing

$$\gamma_{d,k} : O_d(k) \otimes NCSing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n_1}))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n_1} - \mathbb{A}^{n_1})) \otimes ... \otimes NCSing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n_k}))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n_k} - \mathbb{A}^{n_k}))$$

$$\to NCSing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i + d}))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i + d} - \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i n_i + d})))$$

by composing the pairing

$$\gamma_k : \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \otimes NCSing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n_1}))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n_1} - \mathbb{A}^{n_1})) \otimes ... \otimes NCSing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n_k}))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n_k} - \mathbb{A}^{n_k}))$$

$$\to NCSing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i}))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{\Sigma_i n_i} - \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma_i n_i})))$$

with the map π_*.

Corollary 4.7. *The graded complex* $NC(Sing_*(\underset{n}{\oplus} C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))))$ *is a weighted algebra over the cubical endomorphism operad in the sense of 7.12.*

Proof. It suffices to verify that the arrows in the diagrams (7.4.7.4), (7.4.7.5) and (7.4.7.6) preserve the appropriate weights. The commutativity of the diagram (7.4.7.4) follows from the associativity of composition for the pushforward maps π_* in the above definition. Observe also that the operad $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ as well as the symmetric groups act only on the degree-part of the motivic complex and their actions there commute with the push-forward maps π_* which affect only the weight-part. The commutativity of the diagrams (7.4.7.5) and (7.4.7.6) are clear from the above observations. ☐

Definition 4.8. Observe that $NCSing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))))$ is a chain-complex of simplicial abelian presheaves. We let $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{mot}(n)[2n]$ be the co-chain complex of abelian presheaves obtained as follows. We first take $Tot(N \circ NC(Sing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))))$, where N denotes the normalization functor as in 7.3. This is a chain complex trivial in negative degrees. We re-index this chain complex as usual to obtain a co-chain complex trivial in positive degrees. This will define $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{mot}(n)[2n]$. We let $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{mot} = \underset{n}{\oplus} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{mot}(n)$, $\mathbb{Z}_X^{mot}(n) = \Gamma(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X^{mot}(n))$ and $\mathbb{Z}_X^{mot} = \underset{n}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z}_X^{mot}(n)$.

The above discussion proves the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{mot}$ *is an* E^∞*-algebra over the* E^∞*-operad* $\{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(k)|k\}$ *and is a weighted* E^∞*-algebra over the* E^∞*-operad* $\{\mathcal{O}_m(k)|k, m \geq 0\}$ *in the category* $Co-chain(Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))$.

Next, we proceed to show that the natural map

$$NC(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))) \to Tot(N \circ NCSing_*((C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))))$$

induces a quasi-isomorphism. This will follow from the observation that both the above presheaves are $\mathbb{A}^1$-local (see 4.11) and (7.2.7.1) in the appendix) and that they are $\mathbb{A}^1$-weakly-equivalent in the $\mathbb{A}^1$-local category of simplicial presheaves sheaves defined as follows.

Theorem 4.10. *(Morel-Voevodsky) (See [M-V] Theorem 2.2.7) Let* $\mathfrak{S}$ *denote the big Nisnevich or Zariski site of all schemes of finite type over a Noetherian base-scheme. Then* $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ *and* $Simpl.Sh(\mathfrak{S})$ *are cellular left proper simplicial model categories and the left Bousfield localization of these with respect to* $\mathfrak{A} = \{h_{\mathbb{A}^1} \to h_S\}$ *exist.*

The $\mathbb{A}^1$-local category of simplicial presheaves on $\mathfrak{S}$ is the left Bousfield localization of $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ with respect to $\mathfrak{A}$. (See the appendix for more details on this construction.) This will be denoted $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Observe by the above theorem and by Proposition 7.5, that this is a left-proper and cellular model category which is also simplicial.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $\mathfrak{S}$ denote the big Zariski site of schemes of finite type over a fixed Noetherian scheme S. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \{h_{\mathbb{A}^1} \to h_S\}$. Let $P \varepsilon Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ be such that $\Gamma(U, P)$ is a fibrant simplicial set for every U in $\mathfrak{S}$ and so that P has cohomological descent on the Zariski site of every scheme $X \varepsilon \mathfrak{S}$. Then P is $\mathfrak{A}$-local if and only if the map $\mathcal{H}om(S, P) \to \mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{A}^1, P)$ induced by $p : \mathbb{A}^1 \to S$ is a weak-equivalence.*

Proof. Recall the internal hom in $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ is defined by the bi-functor $\mathcal{H}om$. The proof follows readily from the definition (7.2.7.1), the relation between the above $\mathcal{H}om$ and the bi-functor Map along with the observation that the maps $\mathcal{H}om(S, P) \to \mathcal{H}om(S, \mathcal{G}P)$ and $\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{A}^1, P) \to \mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{G}P)$ are weak-equivalences. (Here $\mathcal{G}P$ is the Godement resolution on the Zariski site; observe that $P \to \mathcal{G}P$ is a fibrant approximation to P in the model structure defined in Proposition 7.1.) ☐

Proposition 4.12. *Let $\mathfrak{S}$ denote the big Zariski site of all schemes of finite type over a Noetherian base scheme S. Let $P \varepsilon Simpl.Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ have the Mayer-Vietoris property on the Zariski site of a given scheme X. Then the presheaf $Sing_*(P)$ also has the Mayer-Vietoris property on the Zariski site of X.*

Proof. The key observation is that P is a simplicial *abelian* presheaf. Now the diagram in 7.2(ii) is a fibration sequence if and only if it is a short exact sequence of simplicial abelian groups. Recall $Sing_*(P) =$ the diagonal of the bi-simplicial abelian presheaf $\{\mathcal{H}om((\mathbb{A}^1)^m, P_n)|n, m\}$. Observe that, for each fixed m, and each fixed W in the site $\mathfrak{S}$, $\Gamma(W, \mathcal{H}om((\mathbb{A}^1)^m, P)) = Map(W, \mathcal{H}om((\mathbb{A}^1)^m, P)) = Map(W \times (\mathbb{A}^1)^m, P) = \Gamma(W \times (\mathbb{A}^1)^m, P)$. (These follow from the observation that for a simplicial presheaf Q, $\Gamma(W, Q)_n = Hom_{Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})}(W \times \Delta[n], Q) = Map(W, Q)_n$.) Therefore, the Mayer-Vietoris property for the presheaf P implies the Mayer-Vietoris property for each of the presheaves $\mathcal{H}om((\mathbb{A}^1)^m, P)$, $m \geq 0$. i.e. for each fixed $m \geq 0$, and U, V open in the Zariski site of a given scheme X, the sequence (of simplicial abelian groups)

$$\Gamma(U \cup V, \mathcal{H}om((\mathbb{A}^1)^m, P)) \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{H}om((\mathbb{A}^1)^m, P)) \times \Gamma(V, \mathcal{H}om((\mathbb{A}^1)^m, P)) \to \Gamma(U \cap V, \mathcal{H}om((\mathbb{A}^1)^m, P))$$

is exact. On varying m and then taking the diagonal, one still obtains a short-exact sequence. ☐

Proposition 4.13. *The presheaves*

$$DN(NC(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))) \text{ and}$$

$$DN(Tot(N \circ NCSing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))))$$

are both $\mathbb{A}^1$-local. (Here DN denotes the de-normalization functor sending a chain complex that is trivial in negative degrees to a simplicial abelian object as in 7.3.)

Proof. It suffices to verify the criterion in Proposition 4.11 for the presheaf $DN(NC(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))))$: observe this is quasi-isomorphic to the higher cycle complex on any quasi-projective scheme over k and therefore has cohomological descent on the Zariski site of any quasi-projective scheme over k. Therefore, the homotopy property of $DN \circ (NC(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n))))$ implies that it is $\mathbb{A}^1$-local. Recall that $Sing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))$ is the presheaf defined by $\Gamma(U, Sing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))) = \Delta\{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{H}om((\mathbb{A}^1)^m, C_k(\mathbb{Z}_{eq}(\mathbb{A}^n))))|k, m\} = \Delta\{Map(U \times (\mathbb{A}^1)^m, C_k(\mathbb{Z}_{eq}(\mathbb{A}^n))))|k, m\}$. Similarly $\Gamma(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, Sing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))) = \Delta\{Map(U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}^1)^m, C_k(\mathbb{Z}_{eq}(\mathbb{A}^n))))|k, m\}$. Therefore, the homotopy property of $NC(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))$ implies that of

$$Tot(N(Sing_*(NC(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))))).$$

It follows from Proposition 4.12 and Proposition 7.3 in the appendix that the last complex also has the Mayer-Vietoris property on the Zariski site of a given Noetherian scheme and hence cohomological descent on the Zariski site of any Noetherian scheme. Therefore $DN(Tot(N \circ NCSing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))))$ is also $\mathbb{A}^1$-local. ☐

Corollary 4.14. *The natural map*

$$NC(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))) \to Tot(N \circ NCSing_*(C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n))/C_*(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n - \mathbb{A}^n)))))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Moreover, this induces a quasi-isomorphism on taking sections over any smooth quasi-projective scheme over k.

Proof. By de-normalizing the above chain-complexes (which are trivial in negative degrees), one obtains a map of simplicial abelian presheaves. It is clear that the resulting map is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-weak-equivalence. (See for example, [M-V] (2.3.2).) Since both the presheaves are $\mathbb{A}^1$-local, it follows from theorem 7.9 (in the appendix) that this is also a weak-equivalence. Since both are simplicial abelian presheaves, a weak-equivalence is equivalent to a stalk-wise quasi-isomorphism of the associated normalized chain complexes. This proves the first statement. The second statement now follows from the observation that both complexes of presheaves have cohomological descent on the Zariski site of any smooth quasi-projective scheme over k. $\square$

Definition 4.15. Recall from [K-M] Theorem 1.4, Chapter II, that there exists a functor W that converts any E^∞-algebra tensored with $\mathbb{Q}$ to a quasi-isomorphic strictly commutative differential graded algebra. We will let $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}^{mot}$ denote $W(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{mot}\underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}\mathbb{Q})$. We also let $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}^{mot}(n)$ the corresponding piece of weight n. If X is a smooth quasi-projective scheme over k, $\mathbb{Q}_X^{mot} = \Gamma(X, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_X^{mot})$ will be called the *motivic DGA* associated to X.

Next we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.16. *$\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_X^{mot}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X^{cub}\underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}$ as a presheaf of E^∞-differential graded algebras. Moreover, $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_X^{mot}(n)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X^{cub}(n)\underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}$ and if X is a smooth quasi-projective variety, $\mathbb{Q}_X^{mot}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_X^{mot}\underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. It follows from the proof [K-M] Corollary (1.5), Part II that there is a natural map $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X^{cub}(n)\underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}\mathbb{Q} \to \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_X^{mot}(n)$ which is a quasi-isomorphism compatible with the pairings. The quasi-isomorphism in the last statement now follows from the last statement in corollary (4.14). $\square$

5. Operations in motivic cohomology

The results of this section follow by well-known results on algebras over E^∞-operads modified appropriately to weighted algebras over weighted E^∞-operads. The main observation is that the structure of an algebra over an E^∞-operad induces various cohomology operations on the cohomology of the algebra. Let X denote a smooth quasi-projective scheme over a field k. Let p denote a prime different from the characteristic of k. Let $\mathcal{A}^\bullet = \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X^{mot}\underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}/p.\mathbb{Z}$ and let $A^\bullet = \mathbb{Z}_X^{mot}\underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}/p.\mathbb{Z}$. Recall the former is a sheaf of weighted E^∞-algebras over the weighted E^∞-operad defined in the last section. Observe that A is now a bi-graded E^∞-differential graded algebra, so that $A^\bullet = \underset{r}{\oplus} A^\bullet(r)$. Moreover $H^i_{\mathcal{M}}(X;\mathbb{Z}/p(r)) = H^i(A^\bullet(r)) = CH^r(X, 2r-i;\mathbb{Z}/p)$. In the following theorem, we define cohomology operations in mod-p motivic cohomology for all primes $p \geq 2$ *simply as a direct consequence of the structure of an E^∞-algebra structure on the motivic complex*; however, we only derive the expected relations for $p > 2$, leaving the remaining details to a sequel.

Theorem 5.1. *If $p = 2$, there exist operations $Sq^{2s} = P^s : H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(t)) \to H^{q+2s}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(t+s))$ and $Sq^{2s+1} = \beta P^s : H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(t)) \to H^{q+2s+1}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(t+s))$.*

If $p > 2$, there exist operations $P^s : H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(t)) \to H^{q+2s(p-1)}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(t+s(p-1)))$ and $\beta P^s : H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(t)) \to H^{q+2s(p-1)+1}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(t+s(p-1)))$ and

These operations satisfy the following properties:

Contravariant functoriality: if $f : X \to Y$ is a map between smooth schemes over k, $f^* \circ P^s = P^s \circ f^*$ and $f^* \circ Sq^s = Sq^s \circ f^*$.

Let $x \varepsilon H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(t))$. If $p \geq 2$, $q = 2s$ and $t = s$, $P^s(x) = x^p$. Moreover, we obtain the following relations:

(i) If β is the Bockstein, $\beta \circ P^s = \beta P^s$.

(ii) Cartan formulae: For $p > 2$, $P^s(x \otimes y) = \underset{i+j=s}{\Sigma} P^i(x) \otimes P^j(y)$ and

$$\beta P^s(x \otimes y) = \underset{i+j=s}{\Sigma} \beta P^i(x) \otimes P^j(y) + P^i(x) \otimes \beta P^j(y)$$

(iii) Adem relations: (See [May-2] p. 183.) For $i \geq 0$, $j \geq 0$ two integers, we let $(i, j) = (i+j)!/(i!.j!)$ with $0! = 1$. If $i < 0$ or $j < 0$, we let $(i, j) = 0$.

If $p > 2$, $a < pb$, *and* $\epsilon = 0, 1$ *one has*

$$\beta^\epsilon P^a P^b = \Sigma_i (-1)^{a+i}(a - pi, (p-1)b - a + i - 1)\beta^\epsilon P^{a+b-i}P^i \tag{5.0.5.4}$$

where $\beta^0 P^s = P^s$ *while* $\beta^1 P^s = \beta P^s$. *One also has*

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^\epsilon P^a \beta P^b &= (1-\epsilon)\Sigma_i (-1)^{a+i}(a - pi, (p-1)b - a + i - 1)\beta P^{a+b-i}P^i \\ &\quad -\Sigma_i (-1)^{a+i}(a - pi - 1, (p-1)b - a + i)\beta^\epsilon P^{a+b-i}\beta P^i\end{aligned} \tag{5.0.5.5}$$

Proof. The proof follows by modifying the discussions in [May-2] section 5 and [CLM] I, section 1 appropriately to take into account the weights. Since the role of weights makes several changes necessary, we will provide a rather detailed discussion of this in the context of weighted E^∞-operads acting on weighted E^∞-algebras. (However, it is still possible that some of the finer details in obtaining this weighted extension have been left-out; this is mainly for the sake of brevity and also because providing a line by line extension of the arguments in [May-2] to the weighted case would make the present paper rather monotonous to read.)

For this, we let $\pi = \mathbb{Z}/p$ and let W denote the standard π-free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}/p$ as in [May-2] p. 157, but modified so that it is a complex with differential of degree $+1$ rather than -1. (Accordingly W^i, $i \leq 0$, is the $\mathbb{Z}/p[\pi]$-free module on one generator e_i. We will consider the operation on π multiplicatively and let α denote the generator of π. Let $N = 1 + \alpha + ... + \alpha^{p-1}$ and $T = \alpha - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p[\pi]$. Then one defines a differential d on $W = \{W^i | i \leq 0\}$ by $d(e_{2i-1}) = Te_{2i}$ and $d(e_{2i}) = Ne_{2i+1}$. An augmentation $\epsilon : W_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p$ is defined by $\epsilon(\alpha^j e_0) = 1$. A coproduct structure ψ is defined on W by $\psi(e_{2i-1}) = \Sigma_{j+k=i} e_{2j} \otimes e_{2k-1} + \Sigma_{j+k=i} e_{2j-1} \otimes \alpha e_{2k}$ and $\psi(e_{2i}) = \Sigma_{j+k=i} e_{2j} \otimes e_{2k} + \Sigma_{j+k=i+1}\Sigma_{0 \leq r < s < p}\alpha^r e_{2j-1} \otimes \alpha^s e_{2k-1}$. Then $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ has a $\mathbb{Z}/p$-basis $\{e_i | i \geq 0\}$ so that $\beta(e_{2i}) = e_{2i+1}$ (where β is the Bockstein) and $\psi(e_i) = \Sigma_{j+k=i} e_j \otimes e_k$ if $p = 2$ or i is *odd* and $\psi(e_{2i}) = \Sigma_{j+k=i} e_{2j} \otimes e_{2k}$ if $p > 2$. We will view W as the obvious constant sheaf on the big Nisnevich site. We let $\bar{W} = \underset{r\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}}{\oplus} W(r)$, where $W(r)$ is a copy of W indexed by r which we call the weight of $W(r)$. We will now extend ψ to a map $\bar{\psi} : \bar{W} \rightarrow \bar{W} \otimes \bar{W}$ so that $\bar{\psi}$ preserves weights and where the weight of $W(r) \otimes W(s)$ will be $r + s$.

Next one observes that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$ provides a free resolution of the right $\mathbb{Z}[\Sigma_p]$-module $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}(p) = \underset{n}{\oplus}\{\mathcal{O}_n(p) | n\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}\}$ provides a Σ_p-free resolution of the constant sheaf of right $\mathbb{Z}[\Sigma_p]$-modules, $\underset{n\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z}$. Let $j : \bar{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(p)$ denote a map of graded complexes so that in each degree n, j_n is a map of complexes of sheaves $\mathbb{Z}/p[\pi]$-modules. Let $\bar{\theta}_p : \bar{W} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \xrightarrow{\theta_p} \mathcal{A}$. Now one may compute $H^*(W \underset{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}{\otimes} A^{\overset{p}{\otimes}}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{O}(p) \underset{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}{\otimes} A^{\overset{p}{\otimes}})$ as in [May-2] Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.1(iii). The following are shown there. Let $\{x_j | j\varepsilon J\}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/p$-basis for $H^*(A)$ (viewed as an un-graded $\mathbb{Z}/p$-module), and let $B \subseteq H^*(A^{\overset{p}{\otimes}}) \cong H^*(A)^{\overset{p}{\otimes}}$ have basis $\{x_j^p | j\varepsilon J\}$. Moreover let $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ have $\{e_i | i \geq 0\}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}/p$-basis with e_0 denoting $1\varepsilon H^0(W; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. For each integer j, let e_i^j denote a copy of e_i. Then for each $i = 0, ..., \infty$, $\underset{j\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}}{\oplus} e_i^j \otimes B$ is a summand of $H^*(\mathcal{O}(p) \underset{\mathbb{Z}[\Sigma_p]}{\otimes} A^{\overset{p}{\otimes}})$. Let $\theta_{p*} : H^*(\mathcal{O}(p) \underset{\mathbb{Z}[\Sigma_p]}{\otimes} A^{\overset{p}{\otimes}}) \rightarrow H^*(A)$ denote the map induced by the action of the operad on the algebra A and let $\bar{\theta}_{p*} : H^*(\bar{W} \underset{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}{\otimes} A^{\overset{p}{\otimes}}) \rightarrow H^*(A)$ denote the obvious composition.

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} P^{s,r}(x) &= \bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^r_{2s-q} \otimes x^p), B^{s,r}(x) = \bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^r_{2s-q+1} \otimes x^p), \qquad if \quad p = 2 \quad and \\ P^{s,r}(x) &= (-1)^s \nu(-q)\bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^r_{(2s-q)(p-1)} \otimes x^p), B^{s,r}(x) = (-1)^s\nu(-q)\bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^r_{(2s-q)(p-1)+1} \otimes x^p) \quad if \quad p > 2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.0.5.6}$$

where $\nu(-q)$ is an integer as defined in [May-2] p. 162. (i.e. $e^r_{(2s-q)(p-1)}$ has weight r and degree $(2s - q)(p - 1)$ so that the total weight (degree) of $e^r_{(2s-q)(p-1)} \otimes x^p$ is $pt + r$ ($q + 2s(p - 1)$, respectively).) We let

$$P^s = P^{s,(s-t)(p-1)}, \quad \beta P^s = B^{s,(s-t)(p-1)} \tag{5.0.5.7}$$

The contravariant functoriality is clear by Theorem 1.1. Observe that, since $\{e_i | i\}$ is defined only for $i \geq 0$, we obtain the vanishing of the above operations P^s when $q > 2s$ for all $p \geq 2$. One shows as in [May-2] p. 163 that if $x\varepsilon H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p(t))$ and $y\varepsilon H^{q'}_{\mathcal{M}}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p(t'))$, $(x + y)^p - x^p - y^p$ is a boundary; since $\bar{\theta}_*(e^r_{(2s-q)(p-1)} \otimes -)$ is a homomorphism, it follows that so is each $P^{s,r}$ and each $B^{s,r}$. Recall that $e_0^0 \varepsilon H^0(\bar{W}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is 1 and has weight 0; therefore if $q = 2s$ and $t = s$, $P^s(x) = x^p$. One may show as in [May-2] p. 164 that $\beta(e^u_{2i} \otimes x^p) = e^u_{2i} \otimes x^p$ if either $p > 2$ or $x\varepsilon A^q$, with q *even* and that $\beta(e^u_{2i+1} \otimes x^2) = e^u_{2i} \otimes x^2$ if $p = 2$ and $x\varepsilon A^q$ with q *odd*. It follows readily from these that $\beta \circ P^s = \beta P^s$.

Next we proceed to verify the Cartan relations for $p > 2$. Observe first that $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ also has the structure of a graded algebra over the same graded operad $\{\mathcal{O}(p)|p \geq 0\}$, which is defined as follows. The algebra structure $\mathcal{O}(p) \otimes (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ is defined as the composition

(5.0.5.8)
$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}(p) \otimes (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} &\overset{\Delta \otimes id \otimes U}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \\ &\overset{T}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \overset{\theta \otimes \theta}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}\end{aligned}$$

Here Δ denotes the diagonal map followed by the Alexander-Whitney map, U is the shuffle map and T is the obvious flip map. It is shown in [CLM] I p. 8, that the first map above is compatible with the co-product structure ψ on $\bar{W}$. Using this observation and the definition of ψ as above, one obtains the identities for $x \varepsilon H^{q_1}_{\mathcal{M}}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p(t_1))$ and for $y \varepsilon H^{q_2}_{\mathcal{M}}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p(t_2))$:

(5.0.5.9)
$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^{s-t}_{(2s-q)(p-1)} \otimes (x \otimes y)^p) \\ &= \Sigma_{i+j=s} \bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^{i-t_1}_{(2i-q_1)(p-1)} \otimes x^p) \otimes \bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^{j-t_2}_{(2j-q_2)(p-1)} \otimes y^p)\end{aligned}$$

(5.0.5.10)
$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^{s-t}_{(2s-q)(p-1)+1} \otimes (x \otimes y)^p) \\ &= \Sigma_{i+j=s} \bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^{i-t_1}_{(2i-q_1)(p-1)+1} \otimes x^p) \otimes \bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^{j-t_2}_{(2j-q_2)(p-1)} \otimes y^p) + \bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^{i-t_1}_{(2i-q_1)(p-1)} \otimes x^p) \otimes \bar{\theta}_{p*}(e^{j-t_2}_{(2j-q_2)(p-1)+1} \otimes y^p)\end{aligned}$$

One needs to now observe as in [May-2] p. 162, that $\bar{\theta}_*(e^r_n \otimes z) = 0$ unless $z \varepsilon H^q(A)$, q is even and ($n = 2j(p-1)$ or $n = 2j(p-1) - 1$ for some j) or $z \varepsilon H^q(A)$, q is odd and ($n = (2j-1)(p-1)$ or $n = (2j-1)(p-1) - 1$). This readily proves the Cartan relations for $p > 2$.

Next we consider the Adem relations also for $p > 2$. The crucial diagram is the following commutative diagram provided by the structure of an algebra on A (see (7.4.7.4) with $k = p$, $j_1 = j_2 = ...j_p = p$, $j = p^2$) over the operad $\{\mathcal{O}(p)|p \geq 0\}$:

(5.0.5.11)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}(p) \otimes \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes ... \otimes \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes A^{\overset{p^2}{\otimes}} \arrow[r, "\gamma \otimes id"] \arrow[dd, "id \otimes U"'] & \mathcal{O}(p^2) \otimes A^{\overset{p^2}{\otimes}} \arrow[d, "\theta_{p^2}"] \\
 & A \\
\mathcal{O}(p) \otimes \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes A^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \otimes ... \otimes \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes A^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \arrow[r, "id \otimes \theta^p"] & \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes A^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \arrow[u, "\theta_p"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Next let Σ_{p^2} act as permutations on the pairs (i, j), $i \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq p$. Imbed π in Σ_{p^2} by letting $\alpha(i, j) = (i + 1, j)$. Define $\alpha_i \varepsilon \Sigma_{p^2}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$ by $\alpha_i(i, j) = (i, j + 1)$ and $\alpha_i(k, j) = (k, j)$ for $k \neq i$. Let $\beta = \alpha_1...\alpha_p$ so that $\beta(i, j) = (i, j + 1)$. Then $\alpha\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}\alpha$; $\alpha_i\alpha_j = \alpha_j\alpha_i$ and $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$. Let β generate ν and let $\sigma = \pi\nu$ and let τ be generated by the α_i and α. Then $\sigma \subseteq \tau$, τ is a p-Sylow subgroup of Σ_{p^2} and is a split extension of $\pi_1...\pi_p$ by π. Let $j_1 : \bar{W}_1 = \bar{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(p)$ ($j_2 : \bar{W}_2 = \bar{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(p)$) be the map considered above so that in each degree it is a map of $\mathbb{Z}/p[\pi]$-modules ($\mathbb{Z}/p[\nu]$-modules, respectively). Now the induced map $j_1 \otimes j_2^p : \bar{W}_1 \otimes \bar{W}_2^p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes \mathcal{O}(p)^p$ is a map of complexes of $\mathbb{Z}/[\tau]$-modules and is a quasi-isomorphism. Let $\bar{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(p^2)$ denote a map of complexes of $\mathbb{Z}/p^2[\tau]$ which is a quasi-isomorphism and let $\bar{w} : \bar{W}_1 \otimes \bar{W}_2^p \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ denote any τ-equivariant map. Starting with the above diagram, now one obtains the following diagram with $\mathcal{O}(p)$ replaced by $\bar{W}$ and with $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ replaced by $\bar{Y}$:

(5.0.5.12)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{W}_1 \otimes \bar{W}_2^p \otimes A^{\overset{p^2}{\otimes}} \arrow[r, "w\otimes id"] \arrow[dd, "id\otimes U"'] & \bar{Y} \otimes A^{\overset{p^2}{\otimes}} \arrow[d, "\bar{\theta}_{p^2}"] \\
 & A \\
\bar{W}_1 \otimes (\bar{W}_2 \otimes A^{\overset{p}{\otimes}})^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \arrow[r, "id\otimes \bar{\theta}_p{}^p"] & \bar{W}_1 \otimes A^{\overset{p}{\otimes}} \arrow[u, "\bar{\theta}_p"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

This readily provides the relation for $x\varepsilon A^q$ and $m = (p-1)/2$:

(5.0.5.13)
$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\theta}_{p^2*}(w_*(e_r^u \otimes (e_s^u)^p) \otimes x^{p^2}) = \bar{\theta}_{p^2*}(w\otimes id)_*(e_r^u \otimes (e_s^v)^p \otimes x^{p^2}) = \bar{\theta}_{p*}(id \otimes \bar{\theta}_p^p)_*(id\otimes U)_*(e_r^u \otimes (e_s^v)^p \otimes x^{p^2})\\ = (-1)^{m.q.s}\bar{\theta}_{p*}(e_r^u \otimes \bar{\theta}_{p*}(e_s^v \otimes x^p))\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma\varepsilon\Sigma_{p^2}$ denote the permutation defined by $\Gamma(i,j) = (j,i)$, $i,j = 1,...,p$. Observe that $\Gamma^2 = id$ and that $\Gamma\circ\alpha = \beta\circ\alpha$. The effect of conjugation by Γ, denoted Γ_* on $H^*(\bar{W}_2;\mathbb{Z}/p(q))$ is given by $\Gamma_*(e_r^u \otimes e_s^v) = (-1)^{r.s+m.q}(e_s^v \otimes e_r^u)$. Moreover, it follows as in [May-2] p. 176, that $w_* \circ \phi_* = \gamma_* \circ \phi_* \circ w_*$. Therefore,

$$w_*(\phi_*(e_r^u \otimes e_s^v)) = (-1)^{r.s+m.q} w_*(\phi_*(e_s^v \otimes e_r^u)) \tag{5.0.5.14}$$

Here $\phi_* : H^*(\bar{W}_1 \underset{\mathbb{Z}/p}{\otimes} \bar{W}_2;\mathbb{Z}/p) \to H^*(\bar{W}_1 \underset{\mathbb{Z}/p}{\otimes} \bar{W}_2^p;\mathbb{Z}/p)$ denotes the map induced by $id\otimes\delta : \bar{W}_1 \underset{\mathbb{Z}/p}{\otimes} \bar{W}_2 \to \bar{W}_1 \underset{\mathbb{Z}/p}{\otimes} \bar{W}_2^p$ where δ denotes the diagonal. As shown in [May-2] p. 176, one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_*(e_r^u \otimes e_s^v) = \Sigma_k(-1)^k\nu(s)(k,[s/2]-pk)e_{r+(2pk-s)(p-1)}^{u+(pk-v)(p-1)} \otimes (e_{s-2k(p-1)}^{v-k(p-1)})^p\\ -\delta(r)\delta(s-1)\Sigma_k(-1)^k\nu(s-1)[k,[(s-1)/2]-pk)e_{r+p+(2pk-s)(p-1)}^{v+(pl-u)(p-1)} \otimes (e_{s-2k(p-1)-1}^{u-l(p-1)})^p\end{aligned} \tag{5.0.5.15}$$

where $\nu(2j+\epsilon) = (-1)^j(m!)^\epsilon$ and $\delta(2j+\epsilon) = \epsilon$, j any integer, $\epsilon = 0,1$.

(The arguments in [May-2] p. 176 depend on arguments in [May-2] pp. 205-207; these arguments may be modified readily to consider the weighted case. The key observation now is that both the the left-hand and right-hand side of any equation there have the same weights and all arrows in the commutative diagrams preserve weights. With these observations, one may readily obtain the above equation from the arguments in [May-2].) Therefore, substituting for the right-hand-sides of the last equation in the left-hand-side of (5.0.5.13), (5.0.5.14) provides the equation:

$$\bar{\theta}_{p^2*}(w\otimes id)_*(\phi_*(e_r^u \otimes e_s^v) \otimes x^{p^2}) = (-1)^{r.s+m.q}\bar{\theta}_{p^2*}(w\otimes id)_*(\phi_*(e_s^v \otimes e_r^v) \otimes x^{p^2})) \tag{5.0.5.16}$$

As in [May-2] p. 180, one obtains a similar relation when ϕ_* is replaced by $\beta\circ\phi_*$, where β denotes the Bockstein. Therefore, after substituting for $\phi_*(e_r^u \otimes e_s^v)$ and $\phi_*(e_s^v \otimes e_r^u)$ from 5.0.5.15 and interpreting the corresponding equation in terms of the right-hand-sides of the equation 5.0.5.13 and finally on replacing r (s) by $2r$ ($2s$, respectively) one obtains the equation for $\epsilon = 0,1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_k(-1)^k\nu(2s)(k,s-pk)\bar{\theta}_{p*}(e_{2r+(2pk-2s)(p-1)-\epsilon}^{u+(pk-v)(p-1)}\bar{\theta}_{p*}(e_{2s-2k(p-1)}^{v-k(p-1)} \otimes x^p)^p)\\ = \Sigma_l(-1)^l\nu(2r)(l,r-pl)\bar{\theta}_{p*}(e_{2s+(2pl-2r)(p-1)-\epsilon}^{v+(pl-u)(p-1)}\bar{\theta}_{p*}(e_{2r-2l(p-1)}^{u-l(p-1)} \otimes x^p)^p)\end{aligned} \tag{5.0.5.17}$$

Putting $r = a(p-1) - pqm$, $s = b(p-1) - qm$, $j = b-k$ and $i = a - mq - l$, $u + v = t + (a+b)(p-1) - tp^2$ we obtain

$$\Sigma_j(-1)^{b+j}(b-j, pj-b-mq)\beta^\epsilon P^{a+b-j}P^j(x) = \Sigma_i(-1)^{a+i}(a-mq-i, pi-a)\beta^\epsilon P^{a+b-i}P^i(x) \tag{5.0.5.18}$$

(Observe that now $vp - k(p-1)p = (j-t)(p-1)p$, $u+v-vp+k(p-1) = (a+b-j-t-j(p-1))(p-1)$, $up - l(p-1)p = (i-t)(p-1)p$ and $u+v-up+l(p-1) = (a+b-i-t-i(p-1))(p-1)$.) The condition $a > pb$ guarantees that the same terms do not appear with non-zero coefficients on both sides of the above equation. Next suppose $q = 2b - 2(1 + ... + p^{t-1})$ for some $t > 0$. Then if $j \neq b$, $(b - j, pj - b - mq) = 0$. On the right-hand-side of the above equation, $\beta^{\epsilon} P^{a+b-i} P^i(x) = 0$ unless $1 + ... + p^{t-1} = b - q/2 \geq pi - a$, while if $p^t > pi - a$, then $(a - mq - i, pi - a) = (pi - a, a - (p - 1)b + p^t - 1 - i) = (pi - a, a - (p - 1)b - i - 1)$. Thus, the above equation provides the required Adem relation if $q = 2b - 2(1 + ... + p^{t-1})$ for $t > 0$. Since this holds, therefore, for an increasing sequence of qs, by [May-2] Lemma 4.3, the first Adem relation holds for all q, $x \varepsilon A^q$ if $p > 2$. We skip the derivation of the last relation which may be derived similarly. □

Remark 5.2. For an example take $p = 2$ and $q = 2t$, i.e. $H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2(t)) = CH^t(X, 0; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Now one can see easily that, in the above set-up, the operation P^s takes values in $CH^{t+s}(X, 0; \mathbb{Z}/2) = H^{q+2s}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2(t + s))$. Such operations were also obtained by Patrick Brosnan by different techniques. We thank Patrick Brosnan and Markus Rost for several discussions on this section.

6. RELATIVE MIXED TATE MOTIVES FOR SMOOTH SCHEMES OVER A FIELD k

The results of this section generalize the constructions of [Bl-3], [Bl-K] and [K-M] for the category of mixed Tate motives over a field. We fix a smooth quasi-projective scheme X over a field k. We let $A = \mathbb{Q}^{mot}_X$. We may assume therefore that A is bi-graded via k-modules $A(r)$, where $q \varepsilon \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \geq 0$. Let $\mathbb{D}_A$ denote the derived category of cohomologically bounded below A-modules, i.e. differential bi-graded A-modules $M = \underset{q,r}{\oplus} M^q(r)$ where $M^q(r)$ may be non-zero for any pair of integers so that $\mathcal{H}^q(M)(r) = 0$ for all sufficiently small q. One may define a functor $Q : \mathbb{D}_A \to D(\mathbb{Q} - vector \quad spaces)$ by $Q(M) = \mathbb{Q} \overset{L}{\underset{A}{\otimes}} M$. Here $D(\mathbb{Q} - vector \quad spaces)$ denotes the derived category of bounded below complexes of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces. Observe that this category has a natural t-structure, the heart of which is given by the complexes that have cohomology trivial in all degrees except 0. We let $\mathcal{H}_A$ denote the full sub-category of $\mathbb{D}_A$ consisting of complexes K so that $\mathcal{H}^q(Q(K)) = 0$ for all $q \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{FH}_A$ denote the full sub-category of $\mathcal{H}_A$ consisting of complexes K so that $\mathcal{H}^0(Q(K))$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space. We will make the following assumption throughout:

6.0.19. *the DGA A is connected in the following sense: $H^i(A)(r) = 0$ for $i < 0$, $H^0(A)(r) = 0$ if $r \neq 0$ and $H^0(A)(0) = \mathbb{Q}$.*

Now we obtain the following theorem as in [K-M].

Theorem 6.1. *The triangulated category $\mathbb{D}_A$ admits a t-structure whose heart is $\mathcal{H}_A$. Moreover $\mathcal{FH}_A$ is a graded neutral Tannakian category over $\mathbb{Q}$ with fiber functor $w = \mathcal{H}^0 \circ Q$.*

Proof. The proof is essentially in [K-M] Theorem (1.1), Part IV. (The key idea here is to use the theory of minimal models.) □

One may apply the bar construction (see [K-M] p. 76) to the algebra A: we will denote this by $\bar{B}A$. Let IA denote the augmentation ideal of A. We let $\chi_A = H^0(\bar{B}A)$. This is a commutative Hopf-algebra and, as in [K-M] p. 76, is a polynomial algebra with its k-module of indecomposable elements a co-Lie algebra which is denoted γ_A. Now we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6.2. *(See [K-M] p. 77) Assume the hypothesis (6.0.19). Then the following categories are equivalent:*

(i) The heart $\mathcal{H}_A$ of $\mathbb{D}_A$

(ii) The category of generalized nilpotent representations of the co-Lie algebra γ_A

(iii) The category of co-modules over the Hopf-algebra χ_A

(iv) The category $\mathcal{T}_A$ of generalized nilpotent twisting matrices in A

The full sub-categories of finite dimensional objects in the categories (i), (ii) and (iii) and of finite matrices in the category (iv) are also equivalent.

Definition 6.3. (Linear schemes over k) (i) A scheme over $Spec \quad k$ is 0-linear if it is either empty or isomorphic to any affine space $\mathbb{A}^n_{Spec \quad k}$.

(ii) Let $n > 0$ be an integer. A scheme Z, over $Spec \quad k$, is n-linear, if there exists a triple (U, X, Y) of schemes over $Spec \quad k$ so that $Y \subseteq X$ is an S-closed immersion with U its complement, Y and one of the schemes U or X is $(n - 1)$-linear and Z is the other member in $\{U, X\}$. We say Z is linear if it is n-linear for some $n \geq 0$.

(iii) Any reduced scheme X of finite type over $Spec \quad k$ will be called a variety. Linear varieties over k are varieties over $Spec \quad k$ that are linear schemes.

Example 6.4. The following are common examples of linear varieties. In these examples we fix a separably closed base field k and consider only varieties over k.

- All toric varieties
- All spherical varieties (A variety X is spherical if there exists a reductive group G acting on X so that there exists a Borel subgroup having a dense orbit.)
- Any variety on which a connected solvable group acts with finitely many orbits. (For example projective spaces and flag varieties.)
- Any variety that has a stratification into strata each of which is the product of a torus with an affine space.

Remark 6.5. If the field is not separably closed, not all tori are split; therefore the varieties appearing above need not be linear in the sense of the definition 6.3. Over non-separably closed fields, any of the examples will be linear if and only if the tori appearing in the strata are all split.

Corollary 6.6. *Let X denote a smooth (connected) projective linear variety over a field k (not necessarily separably closed), or any one of the schemes appearing in the examples above which are also connected, projective and smooth. Assume that the Beilinson-Soulé conjecture holds for the rational motivic cohomology of $Spec \quad k$, i.e. $H^i_{\mathcal{M}}(Spec \quad k;\mathbb{Q}(r)) = 0$ if $i < 0$, $H^0_{\mathcal{M}}(Spec \quad k;\mathbb{Q}(r)) = 0$ if $r \neq 0$ and $H^0_{\mathcal{M}}(Spec \quad k;\mathbb{Q}(0)) \cong \mathbb{Q}$. Then the conclusions of theorem (6.2) hold for X.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the DGA, A appearing in the theorem is connected. If the field k is not separably closed, one may find a finite separable extension k' of k so that all the tori in the stratification of X split. By a transfer argument, one may therefore readily reduce to the case where k is separably closed. In this case, the variety in question is linear; therefore we may invoke the strong Kunneth decomposition for the class of the diagonal Δ in $CH^*(X \times X)$. (See [J-1] or [Tot-1].) i.e.

$$\Delta = \Sigma_i \alpha_i \times \beta_i = \Sigma_i p_1^*(\alpha_i) \circ p_2^*(\beta_i) \tag{6.0.6.20}$$

where $p_i : X \times X \to X$ is the projection to the i-factor and $\circ$ denotes the intersection product. Now we proceed to show that any class $x \varepsilon CH^*(X, n)$ may be written as a linear combination

$$x = \Sigma_i \alpha_i \circ p_{1*}(p_2^*(\beta_i \circ x)) = \Sigma_i \alpha_i \circ {p'_2}^*({p_1}'_*(\beta_i \circ x)) \tag{6.0.6.21}$$

Here $p'_i : X \to Spec \quad k$ is the obvious projection. To obtain (6.0.6.21), first observe that $x = p_{1*}([\Delta] \circ p_2^*(x))$. By the projection formula and the observation that the class $[\Delta] = \Delta_*(1)$, $1 = [X] \varepsilon CH^*(X;)$, we obtain equality of the classes $[\Delta] \circ p_2^*(x) = \Delta_*(\Delta^*(p_2^*(x))$. Therefore $p_{1*}([\Delta] \circ p_2^*(x)) = p_{1*}(\Delta_*(\Delta^*(p_2^*(x)))) = (p_1 \circ \Delta)_*((p_2 \circ \Delta)^*(x)) = x$. Now substitute the formula for $[\Delta]$ from (6.0.6.20) and use the projection formula to obtain the first equality in (6.0.6.21). The equality of this with the right-hand-side follows by flat-base-change. Observe that $\alpha_i \varepsilon CH^*(X, 0)$. Therefore, the hypothesis that $H^i_{\mathcal{M}}(Spec \quad k;\mathbb{Q}(r)) = 0$ for $i < 0$ shows readily that $H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X;\mathbb{Q}(r)) = CH^r(X, 2r - q) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $q < 0$. (In more detail: let $\alpha_i \varepsilon CH^s(X, 0; \mathbb{Q}) = H^{2s}_{\mathcal{M}}(X;\mathbb{Q}(s))$ and let $p'_{1*}(\beta_i \circ x) \varepsilon CH^r(Spec \quad k, 2r - q; \mathbb{Q}) = H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X;\mathbb{Q}(r))$ so that $\alpha_i \circ {p'}^*_2(p'_{1*}(\beta_i \circ x)) \varepsilon CH^{s+r}(X, 2r - q; \mathbb{Q}) = H^{2s+q}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(s + r))$. If $2s + q < 0$, then q must be also negative, which implies, by the hypotheses that $p'_{1*}(\beta_i \circ x) = 0$.) The hypothesis that $H^0_{\mathcal{M}}(Spec \quad k;\mathbb{Q}(r)) = 0$ for $r \neq 0$ implies similarly that $H^0_{\mathcal{M}}(X;\mathbb{Q}(r)) = 0$ also for $r \neq 0$. Since X is connected, the hypothesis that $H^0_{\mathcal{M}}(Spec \quad k;\mathbb{Q}(0)) = \mathbb{Q}$ now implies $H^0_{\mathcal{M}}(X;\mathbb{Q}(0)) = \mathbb{Q}(0)$. i.e. We have verified that the DGA A associated to the motivic complex of X is connected in the sense of 6.0.19. ☐

The DGA A has a 1-minimal model, $i : A < 1 > \to A$. (Recall a connected DGA B is said to be minimal if it is a free commutative algebra with decomposable differential : $d(B) \subseteq (I(B))^2$ where $I(B)$ is the augmentation ideal of B. $B < 1 >$ is the sub-DGA of B generated by the elements of degree ≤ 1 and their differentials. The 1-minimal model of a DGA A is a composite map $B < 1 > \subseteq B \to A$ with the last map a quasi-isomorphism and with B minimal.) The map i induces an isomorphism on H^1 and is injective on H^2. We say A is a $K(\pi, 1)$ if i is a quasi-isomorphism.

Theorem 6.7. *(See [K-M] p. 77.) The derived category of bounded below chain complexes in $\mathcal{H}_A$ is equivalent to the derived category $\mathbb{D}_{A<1>}$.*

Definition 6.8. (The category of relative mixed Tate motives over X.) Let χ_X^{mot} denote the Hopf algebra $H^0(\bar{B}A)$. The category of (rational) relative mixed Tate motives over X, denoted $\mathcal{MTF}(X)$, will be defined to be the category of finite dimensional co-modules over χ_X^{mot}.

Theorem 6.9. *If the DGA A is connected (in the sense of 6.0.19), $\mathcal{MTF}(X)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{FH}_A$. In particular, this holds for all smooth (connected) projective linear varieties over k or for any of the varieties appearing in the list 6.4 which are also connected, projective and smooth assuming the Beilinson-Soulé conjecture (see above) holds for the motivic cohomology of Spec* $\ k$.

Let $\mathbb{Q}(r)$ be the copy of $\mathbb{Q}$ concentrated in bi-degree $(0, r)$ and regarded as a representation of γ_A in the obvious manner.

Corollary 6.10. *If A is a* $K(\pi, 1)$, *then* $Ext^q_{\mathcal{MTF}(X)}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) = Ext^q_{\mathcal{H}_A}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \cong H^q(A(r)) = H^q_{\mathcal{M}}(X, r) = CH^r(X, 2r - q; \mathbb{Q})$

6.1. **l-adic realization: DGA structure for étale cohomology.** We will first sketch an outline of the corresponding l-adic realization. First, following the approach in [BGSV] and [BMS], one defines a Hopf algebra χ^{et}_X so that the category of co-modules over it is equivalent to the category of mixed Tate l-adic representations of the (algebraic) fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$. (Here l is different from the characteristic of the ground field k.) (The elements of the Hopf algebra χ^{et}_X are *framed* mixed Tate l-adic representations.) Using the cycle map and a variant of the bar construction, one applies the constructions of [Bl-K] to produce the l-adic realization functor. The relevant arguments are entirely similar to those in [Bl-3], [Bl-K] and are therefore omitted.

Let k denote a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$ and let l denote a fixed prime different from p, $\nu > 0$ an integer. Let $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}/{l^{\nu}}^{mot}_{et}$ denote the mod-l^{ν} motivic complex sheafified on the big étale site of all smooth schemes of finite type over k. Let $\{\mathcal{O}(p)_{et} | p \geq\}$ denote the operad $\{\mathcal{O}(p) | p \geq 0\}$ sheafified on the big étale site; this is a sheaf of E^{∞}-operads on the big étale site. Moreover by Suslin's rigidity theorem, one knows that $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}/{l^{\nu}}^{mot}_{et}$ identifies with the sheaf $\mu_{l^{\nu}}$ and that the (étale) hypercohomology of a smooth scheme X over k with respect to the former complex identifies with the étale cohomology with respect to $\mu_{l^{\nu}}$. Therefore $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}/{l^{\nu}}^{mot}_{et}$ provides an E^{∞}-algebra structure for étale cohomology. Taking the sections over a given smooth scheme X, then the homotopy inverse limit over $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$ and applying a functor W as in 4.15, one obtains a strict DGA-structure for continuous l-adic étale cohomology.

Observe as a consequence that the cycle map from the motivic complex to mod-l^{ν}-étale cohomology may now be identified with the map induced by the obvious map: $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{mot} \rightarrow R\epsilon_*(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}/{l^{\nu}}^{mot}_{et})$ where ϵ is the obvious map of sites from the big étale site to the big Nisnevich site of smooth schemes of finite type over k. (Observe that the obvious map $\mathcal{O}(p) \rightarrow R\epsilon_*(\mathcal{O}(p)_{et})$ makes the latter a sheaf of E^{∞}-algebras over the former.) It follows that the above cycle map is compatible with E^{∞}-DGA structures. (In fact this is needed in the l-adic realization as outlined in the first paragraph above.)

7. Appendix

7.1. **Localization of simplicial presheaves (after Hirschorn and Morel-Voevodsky).** We begin with the following two results, which are, by now, well-known in the literature. (See for example, [Jar].)

Proposition 7.1. *Let $\mathfrak{S}$ denote a small site with enough points. Then the following structure defines the structure of a simplicial model category on $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ (or on the category $Simpl.Sh(\mathfrak{S})$ of simplicial sheaves on the site $\mathfrak{S}$):*

- *cofibrations are monomorphisms of presheaves*
- *a map $f : P' \rightarrow P$ is a weak-equivalence if it induces a weak-equivalence of the simplicial sets forming the stalks*
- *fibrations are defined by the right lifting property with respect to maps that are trivial cofibrations (i.e. cofibrations and weak-equivalences)*

Moreover the following additional properties hold:

- *this model category structure is cofibrantly generated where the generating cofibrations I (generating trivial cofibrations J) is the set of cofibrations (trivial cofibrations, respectively) $i : U \rightarrow V$ so that there exists a large enough cardinal number α with the cardinality of each $V_n(X)$ smaller than α, $X \varepsilon \mathfrak{S}$, $n \geq 0$.*
- *Let $\mathcal{G}P = \underset{\Delta}{\mathrm{holim}}\{G^n P|n\}$ denote the Godement resolution (as in [J-2]). Then $\mathcal{G}Ex^{\infty}P$ is a fibrant object in $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ so that the natural map $P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}Ex^{\infty}P$ is a weak-equivalence. (Here Ex^{∞} is a functor that produces a functorial fibrant approximation to a simplicial set.)*

Definition 7.2. (i) We say a presheaf P has cohomological descent on the site $\mathfrak{S}$, if for each U in $\mathfrak{S}$, the natural map $\Gamma(U, P) \rightarrow \underset{\Delta}{\mathrm{holim}}\{\Gamma(U, G^n P)|n\}$ is a weak-equivalence.

(ii) Let $\mathfrak{S}$ denote the Zariski site of a Noetherian scheme X. We say that a presheaf P has the Mayer-Vietoris property on X, if for any two Zariski open sub-schemes U, V of X, the diagram

$$\Gamma(U \cup V, P) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, P) \times \Gamma(V, P) \rightarrow \Gamma(U \cap V, P)$$

is a fibration sequence of simplicial sets.

Proposition 7.3. *Suppose X is a Noetherian scheme and P is a simplicial presheaf on the Zariski site of X having the Mayer-Vietoris property. Then P has cohomological descent on the Zariski site of X.*

For the rest of this section we will assume that $\mathfrak{S}$ is either the big Nisnevich or Zariski site of all schemes of finite type over a given base scheme S. In order to consider the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory on $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$, we will first recall a few basic facts on localization of model categories, from [Hirsch].

Definition 7.4. (See [Hirsch] chapters 12 and 15.) (i) A model category is *left proper* if every pushout of a weak-equivalence along a cofibration is also a weak-equivalence.

(ii) A *cellular* model category is a cofibrantly generated model category for which there exists a set I (J) of generating cofibrations (generating trivial cofibrations, respectively) so that both the domains and the codomains of the elements of I are compact, the domains of the elements of J are small relative to I and the cofibrations are effective monomorphisms.

Proposition 7.5. *(See [Hirsch] chapters 12 and 14.) (i) Every pushout of a weak-equivalence between cofibrant objects is a weak-equivalence in any model category. (ii) If $\mathcal{M}$ is a model category where every object is cofibrant, $\mathcal{M}$ is a left proper model category. In particular, the categories, $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ and $Simpl.Sh(\mathfrak{S})$ on any small site $\mathfrak{S}$ are left-proper as well as cellular.*

Proof. All the assertions are clear, except the one claiming the categories $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ and $Simpl.Sh(\mathfrak{S})$ on any small site $\mathfrak{S}$ are cellular. To see this, recall that the generating cofibrations I (generating trivial cofibrations J) are those cofibrations $i : U \rightarrow V$ as in Proposition 7.1. Therefore, the cellularity of the above categories is also clear. (One may readily show that these cofibrations are in fact effective monomorphisms.) ☐

7.2. **Left Bousfield localization of simplicial model categories.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote a simplicial model category and let $Map : \mathcal{M}^{op} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (simplicial \quad sets)$ denote the bi-functor defined by the simplicial structure. Assume that every object of $\mathcal{M}$ is cofibrant.

Definition 7.6. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote a set of morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$. An object W of $\mathcal{M}$ is *$\mathcal{S}$-local* if W is fibrant and for every $s : S_1 \to S_2$ in $\mathcal{S}$ and any Z in $\mathcal{M}$, the induced map

$$Map(Z \times S_2, W) \to Map(Z \times S_1, W) \tag{7.2.7.1}$$

is a weak-equivalence. A morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{M}$ is an *$\mathcal{S}$-weak-equivalence*, if for any $\mathcal{S}$-local object S_0 of $\mathcal{M}$, the map $Hom_{H\mathcal{M}}(Y, S_0) \to Hom_{H\mathcal{M}}(X, S_0)$ induced by f is an isomorphism, where $H\mathcal{M}$ denotes the homotopy category associated to $\mathcal{M}$. The $\mathcal{S}$-cofibrations are defined to be the same as the cofibrations of $\mathcal{M}$ and the $\mathcal{S}$-fibrations are defined by right-lifting property with respect to all maps that are cofibrations and $\mathcal{S}$-weak-equivalences.

The *left Bousfield localization* of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ is a model category structure on the same category underlying $\mathcal{M}$ where the cofibrations (fibrations, weak-equivalences) are the $\mathcal{S}$-cofibrations ($\mathcal{S}$-fibrations, $\mathcal{S}$-weak-equivalences, respectively).

Remark 7.7. One may verify readily that W is $\mathcal{S}$-local if and only if W is fibrant and for every map $s : S_1 \to S_2$, the induced map $s^* : Map(S_2, W) \to Map(S_1, W)$ is a weak-equivalence.

Theorem 7.8. *(See [Hirsch] chapter 4.) Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote a cellular left proper simplicial model category and $\mathcal{S}$ a set of morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$. Then the left Bousfield localization of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ exists. If $L_S(\mathcal{M})$ denotes this localization, then this is a left proper cellular simplicial model category.*

Theorem 7.9. *($\mathcal{S}$-local Whitehead theorem)(See [Hirsch] (3.3.7).) Assume the situation of the above theorem. Let $f : P \to P'$ denote a map that is an $\mathcal{S}$-weak-equivalence with P and P' both $\mathcal{S}$-local. Then f is a weak-equivalence.*

7.3. **The Dold-Puppe correspondence.**

Let $\mathbf{A}$ denote an abelian category; a chain complex K in $\mathbf{A}$ will denote a sequence $K_i \varepsilon \mathbf{A}$ provided with maps $d : K_i \to K_{i-1}$ so that $d^2 = 0$. Let $C_0(\mathbf{A})$ denote the category of chain complexes in $\mathbf{A}$ that are trivial in negative degrees. One defines the de-normalizing functor:$DN : C_0(\mathbf{A}) \to$ (*simplicial objects in* $\mathbf{A}$) as in [Ill] pp. 8-9. DN will be inverse to the functor $N :$ (*simplicial objects in* $\mathbf{A}$) $\to C_0(\mathbf{A})$ defined by $(NK)^n = \underset{i \neq 0}{\cap} ker(d_i : K_n \to K_{n-1})$ with $\delta : (NK)_n \to (NK)_{n-1}$ induced by d_0.

We will define the Godement resolution for chain complexes of abelian sheaves the following way. Given a chain complex, P, of abelian presheaves on the site $\mathfrak{S}$, we let $\mathcal{G}(P) = N(\underset{\Delta}{\text{holim}}\{G^n(DN(P))|n\})$. (One may observe that $\underset{\Delta}{\text{holim}}\{G^n(DN(P))|n\}$ is a simplicial abelian presheaf so that one may apply the normalization to it to produce a chain-complex.)

7.4. **Operads.**

Basic definitions of operads and algebras over operads for topological spaces may be found in [May-1]. The same definitions apply with minor modifications to the unital symmetric monoidal category $Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ as in section 1. Recall the main result of section 3 is the construction of an E^{∞}-operad in the category $Co-chain(Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))$ by first constructing an operad in the category $Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$. The following detailed definition of operads in the general setting of $Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ is provided so as to clarify the details of this construction. (Recall $Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ is assumed to be provided with the structure of a unital symmetric monoidal structure as in section 1.)

An *associative operad* (or simply operad) $\mathcal{O}$ in $Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ is given by a sequence $\{\mathcal{O}(k)|k \geq 0\}$ of objects in $Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ along with the following data:

for every integer $k \geq 1$ and every sequence $(j_1, ..., j_k)$ of non-negative integers so that $\Sigma_{l=1}^{l=k} j_l = j$ there is given a map $\gamma_k : \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j_1) \otimes ...\mathcal{O}(j_k) \to \mathcal{O}(j)$ so that the following associativity diagrams commute, where $\Sigma_{l=1}^{l=k} j_l = j$ and $\Sigma_{t=1}^{t=j} i_t = i$; we set $g_s = j_1 + ... + j_s$ and $h_s = i_{g_{s-1}+1} + ... + i_{g_s}$ for $1 \leq s \leq k$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes (\overset{k}{\underset{s=1}{\otimes}} \mathcal{O}(j_s)) \otimes (\overset{j}{\underset{r=1}{\otimes}} \mathcal{O}(i_r)) \arrow[rr, "\gamma \otimes id"'] \arrow[dd, "shuffle"'] & & \mathcal{O}(j) \otimes (\overset{j}{\underset{r=1}{\otimes}} \mathcal{O}(i_r)) \arrow[d, "\gamma"'] \\
& & \mathcal{O}(i) \\
\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes (\overset{k}{\underset{s=1}{\otimes}} \mathcal{O}(j_s)) \otimes (\overset{j_s}{\underset{q=1}{\otimes}} \mathcal{O}(i_{g_{s-1}+q})) \arrow[rr, "id \otimes (\otimes_s \gamma)"] & & \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes (\overset{k}{\underset{s=1}{\otimes}} \mathcal{O}(h_s)) \arrow[u, "\gamma"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.4.7.1}$$

In addition one is provided with a unit map $\eta : u \to \mathcal{O}(1)$ so that the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes (u^{\overset{k}{\otimes}}) \arrow[r, "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "id \otimes \eta^k"'] & \mathcal{O}(k) \\
\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)^{\overset{k}{\otimes}} \arrow[ur, "\gamma"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \text{ and } \begin{tikzcd}
u \otimes \mathcal{O}(j) \arrow[r, "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "\eta \otimes id"'] & \mathcal{O}(j) \\
\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j) \arrow[ur, "\gamma"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute.

A *commutative operad* is an operad as above provided with an action by the symmetric group Σ_k on each $\mathcal{O}(k)$ so that the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j_1) \otimes \cdots \mathcal{O}(j_k) \arrow[r, "\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}"'] \arrow[d, "\gamma"'] & \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots \mathcal{O}(j_{\sigma(k)}) \arrow[d, "\gamma"'] \\
\mathcal{O}(j) \arrow[r, "\sigma(j_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, j_{\sigma(k)})"] & \mathcal{O}(j)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.4.7.2}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j_1) \otimes \cdots \mathcal{O}(j_k) \arrow[r, "id \otimes \tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_k"'] \arrow[d, "\gamma"'] & \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j_1) \otimes \cdots \mathcal{O}(j_k) \arrow[d, "\gamma"'] \\
\mathcal{O}(j) \arrow[r, "\tau_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau_k"] & \mathcal{O}(j)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.4.7.3}$$

commute. Here $\sigma \varepsilon \Sigma_k$, $\tau_s \varepsilon \Sigma_{j_s}$, the permutation $\sigma(j_{\sigma(1)}, ..., j_{\sigma(k)})$ permutes the k-blocks of letters of length $j_{\sigma(1)} \cdots j_{\sigma(k)}$ as σ permutes k letters and $\tau_1 \oplus \cdots \tau_k \varepsilon \Sigma_j$ is the block sum.

For the remaining statements, we will assume that the category $Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ has a model structure, so that it makes sense to consider objects that are *acyclic*, i.e. weakly-equivalent to the unit object u. An operad is an A^∞-operad (or *acyclic* operad) if *each* $\mathcal{O}(k)$ *is acyclic.* It is an E^∞-operad, if in addition, it is commutative and *the given action of* Σ_k *on* $\mathcal{O}(k)$ *is free.*

Definition 7.10. *A weighted operad* $\{\mathcal{O}(k)|k\}$ is an operad which is graded in the sense $\mathcal{O}(k) = \underset{m}{\sqcup} \mathcal{O}_m(k)$ so that the maps in the above diagrams preserve the weights. (The weight of $\mathcal{O}_{n_0}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{n_1}(j_1) \otimes ... \otimes \mathcal{O}_{n_k}(j_k)$ is $\Sigma_{i=0}^{k} n_i$.)

Remark 7.11. The free abelian group functor $\mathbb{Z} : (Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S})) \to (Simpl.ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S}))$ will provide a means of constructing operads in the category of simplicial abelian presheaves by starting with an operad of simplicial presheaves. This has the following property: given two simplicial presheaves P and P', there exists a natural map $\mathbb{Z}(P) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(P') \to \mathbb{Z}(P \times P')$. i.e. the functor $\mathbb{Z} : Simpl.Presh(\mathfrak{S}) \to Simpl.Ab.Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ is compatible with the tensor structures.

Definition 7.12. An algebra A over an operad $\mathcal{O}$ is an object in $Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ provided with maps $\theta : \mathcal{O}(j) \otimes A^{\overset{j}{\otimes}} \to A$ for all $j \geq 0$ that are associative and unital in the sense that the following diagrams commute:

(7.4.7.4)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}(k)\otimes\mathcal{O}(j_1)\otimes ... \otimes\mathcal{O}(j_k)\otimes A^{\overset{j}{\otimes}} \arrow[r, "\gamma\otimes id"] \arrow[dd, "shuffle"'] & \mathcal{O}(j)\otimes A^{\overset{j}{\otimes}} \arrow[d, "\theta"'] \\
 & A \\
\mathcal{O}(k)\otimes\mathcal{O}(j_1)\otimes A^{\overset{j_1}{\otimes}}\otimes ... \otimes\mathcal{O}(j_k)\otimes A^{\overset{j_k}{\otimes}} \arrow[r, "id\otimes\theta^k"] & \mathcal{O}(k)\otimes A^{\overset{k}{\otimes}} \arrow[u, "\theta"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

(7.4.7.5)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
u\otimes A \arrow[r, "\simeq"'] \arrow[d, "\eta\otimes id"'] & A \\
\mathcal{O}(1)\otimes A \arrow[ur, "\theta"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

A weighted algebra A over a weighted operad $\{\mathcal{O}(k)|k\}$ is a graded algebra $A = \underset{m}{\sqcup} A(m)$ over the operad $\{\mathcal{O}(k)|k\}$ so that the appropriate arrows in the above diagrams all preserve the weights.

If the operad is A^{∞}, we will refer to the algebra A as an A^{∞}-algebra. If A is an algebra over an operad $\mathcal{O}$ as above one defines a left A-module M to be an object in $Presh(\mathfrak{S})$ provided with maps $\lambda : \mathcal{O}(j)\otimes A^{j-1}\otimes M \rightarrow M$ satisfying similar associativity and unital conditions. Right-modules are defined similarly.

A commutative algebra over a commutative operad $\mathcal{O}$ is an A^{∞} algebra over the operad $\mathcal{O}$ so that the following diagrams commute:

(7.4.7.6)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}(j)\otimes A^{\overset{j}{\otimes}} \arrow[rr, "\sigma\otimes\sigma^{-1}"'] \arrow[dr, "\theta"'] & & \mathcal{O}(j)\otimes A^{\overset{j}{\otimes}} \arrow[dl, "\theta"] \\
 & A &
\end{tikzcd}$$

If, in addition, the operad is E^{∞}, we will refer to the algebra A as an E^{∞}-algebra.

One may now observe the following. For each integer $n \geq 0$, let $u[\Sigma_n] = \underset{\Sigma_n}{\sqcup} u$ denote the sum of u indexed by the symmetric group Σ_n. One may define the structure of a monoid on $u[\Sigma_n]$ as follows:

let u_g denote the copy of u indexed by $g\epsilon\Sigma_n$. Now we map $u_g\otimes u_h$ to $u_{g.h}$ by the given map $\mu : u\otimes u \rightarrow u$.

If $\mathcal{O}$ is a commutative operad in $Presh(\mathfrak{S})$, one may now observe that each $\mathcal{O}(k)$ is a right-module over the monoid $u[\Sigma_k]$. (Observe that $\mathcal{O}(k)\otimes u[\Sigma_k] \cong \underset{g\epsilon\Sigma_k}{\oplus} \mathcal{O}(k)\otimes u_g$. We map $\mathcal{O}(k)\otimes u_g$ to $\mathcal{O}(k)\otimes u$ by the map $g\otimes id$. Now apply the given map $\mathcal{O}(k)\otimes u \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(k)$.)

REFERENCES

[Bl-1] S. Bloch, *Algebraic Cycles and Higher K-theory*, Adv. Math., **61**, 267-304, (186)

[Bl-2] S. Bloch, *Algebraic cycles and the Beilinson conjectures*, Contemp. Math., **58**, (1986), 65-79

[Bl-3] S. Bloch, *Algebraic cycles and the Lie algebra of mixed Tate motives*, J.A.M.S, **4**, (1991), 771-791

[Bl-K] S. Bloch and I. Kriz, *Mixed Tate motives*, Annals of Math., (1996)

[BGSV] A. Beilinson, A. Goncharov, V. Schechtman and A. Varchenko, *Aomoto Dilogarithms, Mixed Hodge Structures and Motivic cohomology of pairs of triangles in the plane*, Groth. Feschrift, vol I, 136- 172, Birkhauser, (1990)

[BMS] A. Beilinson, R. Macpherson and V. Schechtman, *Notes on motivic cohomology*, Duke Math. J, **54**, 679-710, (1987)

[B-K] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Springer Lect. Notes, **304**, (1972)

[Br] M. Brion, *Varieties spheriques et theorie de Mori*, Duke Math. Journal, **72**, no. 2, (1993), 369-404

[CLM] F. Cohen, T. Lada and P. May, *Homology of E^{∞}-ring spaces*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math, **533**, Springer, (1976)

[De-1] Catégories Tannakiens, In Grothendieck Festschrift, volume 2, Birkhauser, (1990)

[De-2] Letters to Bloch and May dated March 19, March 20, April 25 and May 2, 1990

[EKMM] A. D. Elemendorff, I. Kritz, M. A. Mandell and P. May, *Rings, modules and algebras in stable homotopy theory* , preprint (1996)

[E-S] G. Ellingsrud and S. A. Stromme, *Towards the Chow ring of the Hilbert scheme of* $\mathbb{P}^2$, J. reine angew Math, **441**, (1993), 33-44

[F-S-V] E. Friedlander, A. Suslin and V. Voevodsky, *Cycles, Transfers and Motivic cohomology theories*, Annals of Math. Study, **143**, (2000)

[Ful] W. Fulton, *Introduction to Toric varieties*, Ann. Math. Study, **131**, (1993), Princeton

[H-Sch] V. Hinich and V. Schechtman, *On homotopy limit of homotopy algebras*, Springer Lect. Notes, **1289**, 210-239, (1989)

[Hirsch] P. Hirschorn, *Localization of Model categories*, preprint (see http://www-math.mit.edu/∼psh)

[HSS] M. Hovey, B. Shipley and J. Smith, *Symmetric spectra*, preliminary version, May, (1997)

[Ill-1] L. Illusie, *Complexe cotangent et deformations:I*, Springer Lecture Notes, **239**, Springer Verlag, (1972)

[Jan] U. Jannsen, *Mixed Motives and Algebraic K-theory*, Springer Lecture Notes, **1400**, (1989), Springer Verlag

[Jar] J. F. Jardine, *Simplicial Presheaves*, JPAA, **47**, (1987), 35-87

[J-1] R. Joshua, *Mod-l algebraic K-theory and Higher Chow groups of linear varieties*, Camb. Phil. Soc. Proc., January (2001)

[J-2] R. Joshua, *Generalized Verdier duality for presheaves of spectra* , JPAA, **70**, (1991), 273-289

[K-M] I. Kritz and P. May, *Operads, algebras, modules and motives*, Asterisque, **233**, (1995)

[May-1] P. May, *Geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Springer Lect. Notes, **271**, (1972), 153-222

[May-2] P. May, *A general algebraic approach to Steenrod operations*, Springer Lect. Notes, **168**, (1970), 153-222

[M-V] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky, *$\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory of schemes*, preprint, (1997)

[Qu] D. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Springer Lect. Notes, **43**, (1967), Springer-Verlag.

[SGA4] Seminaire de geometrie algebrique, Springer Lect. notes **269, 270** and **305**, (1971), Springer-Verlag.

[SV] A. Suslin and V. Voevodsky, *Bloch-Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients*, preprint, (1998).

[Tot-1] B. Totaro, *Chow groups, Chow cohomology and linear varieties*, preprint, (1995)

[Tot-2] B. Totaro, *Torsion algebraic cycles and complex cobordism*, Jour. AMS, **10**, 2, (1997), 467-493

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO, 43210, USA.
E-mail address: `joshua@math.ohio-state.edu`